**CTU**
CZECH TECHNICAL
UNIVERSITY
IN PRAGUE

## I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

| | |
|---|---|
| **Thesis title:** | **Design and Development of ANS Help Desk with Asset Management** |
| **Author's name:** | **Jan Štůla** |
| **Type of thesis :** | master |
| **Faculty/Institute:** | Faculty of Transportation Sciences (FTS) |
| **Department:** | Department os Applied Informatics in Transportation |
| **Thesis reviewer:** | Tatiana Polishchuk |
| **Reviewer's department:** | KTS, ITN, Linköping University |

## II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

**Assignment**  Select:  **ordinarily challenging**

*How demanding was the assigned project?*

Please insert your comments: the overall project is operationally valuable and interesting, while scientifically not very significant.

---

**Fulfilment of assignment**  Select: **minor objections**

*How well does the thesis fulfil the assigned task? Have the primary goals been achieved? Which assigned tasks have been incompletely covered, and which parts of the thesis are overextended? Justify your answer.*

The work is performed on a good level, all the assigned parts are finished and described nicely. But I think some kind of assessment of the impact of the work (comparison to how the things were done before the project) is missing, and I think would definitely improve the quality and value of the work.

---

**Activity and independence when creating final thesis**  Grade: **A**

*Assess whether the student had a positive approach, whether the time limits were met, whether the conception was regularly consulted and whether the student was well prepared for the consultations. Assess the student's ability to work independently.*

Please insert your comments here.

---

**Technical level**  Grade: **C**

*Is the thesis technically sound? How well did the student employ expertise in his/her field of study? Does the student explain clearly what he/she has done?*

Please insert your comments here.

---

**Formal level and language level, scope of thesis**  Grade: **B**

*Are formalisms and notations used properly? Is the thesis organized in a logical way? Is the thesis sufficiently extensive? Is the thesis well-presented? Is the language clear and understandable? Is the English satisfactory?*

Please insert your comments here.

---

**Selection of sources, citation correctness**  Grade: **A**

*Does the thesis make adequate reference to earlier work on the topic? Was the selection of sources adequate? Is the student's original work clearly distinguished from earlier work in the field? Do the bibliographic citations meet the standards?*

Please insert your comments here.

---

**Additional commentary and evaluation (optional)**

*Comment on the overall quality of the thesis, its novelty and its impact on the field, its strengths and weaknesses, the utility of the solution that is presented, the theoretical/formal level, the student's skillfulness, etc.*

Please insert your comments here.

**III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR THE PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE THESIS, SUGGESTED GRADE**

*Summarize your opinion on the thesis and explain your final grading.*

The grade that I award for the thesis is **B**

The student has demonstrated the ability to conduct independent project work, work with technical documentation and request the necessary information for people. This definitely adds the value to this work. But I think the scientific and technical level of the overall project work is relatively low, missing any theoretical justficiation of the approach chosen or assessment of the proposed improvement. Anyway, the overall quality of the thesis is acceptable and deserves a good grade.

Date: 16.08.2021                                        Name and signature: Tatiana Polishchuk

Create two versions of the document: One with a signature - send this one by email to the person requesting the review. The second version, with your printed name instead of a signature, should be uploaded to the kos.cvut.cz, where you downloaded the thesis.i