



Supervisor's statement of a final thesis

Student: Lenka Obermajerová
Supervisor: Ing. Jiří Cejnar
Thesis title: Návrh autorizačního konceptu v SAP ERP systému pro závod vybrané společnosti
Branch of the study: Information Systems and Management

Date: 10. 6. 2019

<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</i>
1. Fulfilment of the assignment	<u>1 = assignment fulfilled,</u> 2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections, 3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections, 4 = assignment not fulfilled
<i>Criteria description:</i> Assess whether the submitted FT defines the objectives sufficiently and in line with the assignment; whether the objectives are formulated correctly and fulfilled sufficiently. In the comment, specify the points of the assignment that have not been met, assess the severity, impact, and, if appropriate, also the cause of the deficiencies. If the assignment differs substantially from the standards for the FT or if the student has developed the FT beyond the assignment, describe the way it got reflected on the quality of the assignment's fulfilment and the way it affected your final evaluation.	
<i>Comments:</i> Studentka splnila zadání v plném rozsahu. Práce byla poměrně náročná, jelikož studentka musela nejprve provést podrobnou analýzu mezinárodních logistických procesů ve velké mezinárodní firmě.	
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>
2. Main written part	95 (A)
<i>Criteria description:</i> Evaluate whether the extent of the FT is adequate to its content and scope: are all the parts of the FT contentful and necessary? Next, consider whether the submitted FT is actually correct – are there factual errors or inaccuracies? Evaluate the logical structure of the FT, the thematic flow between chapters and whether the text is comprehensible to the reader. Assess whether the formal notations in the FT are used correctly. Assess the typographic and language aspects of the FT, follow the Dean's Directive No. 26/2017, Art. 3. Evaluate whether the relevant sources are properly used, quoted and cited. Verify that all quotes are properly distinguished from the results achieved in the FT, thus, that the citation ethics has not been violated and that the citations are complete and in accordance with citation practices and standards. Finally, evaluate whether the software and other copyrighted works have been used in accordance with their license terms.	
<i>Comments:</i> Práce obsahuje veškeré potřebné části. Studentka uchopila poměrně složité téma, vhodnou a přehlednou strukturou ho srozumitelně popsala, což je důležité zejména pro čtenáře, kteří se v problematice neorientují, proto bude možno využít tuto práci jako dokumentaci pro zákazníky společnosti tam, kde jsou dané procesy a systém zcela neznámé. Je psána v českém jazyce a bez výrazných chyb.	
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>
3. Non-written part, attachments	95 (A)
<i>Criteria description:</i> Depending on the nature of the FT, comment on the non-written part of the thesis. For example: SW work – the overall quality of the program. Is the technology used (from the development to deployment) suitable and adequate? HW – functional sample. Evaluate the technology and tools used. Research and experimental work – repeatability of the experiment.	
<i>Comments:</i> V příloze jsou velmi dobře vizuálně znázorněny analyzované procesy pomocí BPMN diagramů. Vizualizace procesů pro nás má dvojitý význam, jednak je to názorná forma popisu procesů pro nové uživatele systému a také nám umožňuje zpětně analyzovat vhodné nastavení procesů.	
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>
4. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards	90 (A)
<i>Criteria description:</i> Depending on the nature of the thesis, estimate whether the thesis results could be deployed in practice; alternatively, evaluate whether the results of the FT extend the already published/known results or whether they bring in completely new findings.	
<i>Comments:</i> Výsledkem práce je template (šablona) autorizačního konceptu pro SAP ERP systém se zaměřením na logistiku pro nově vznikající závody firmy menšího objemu výroby.	

Evaluation criterion:

The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.

5. Activity and self-reliance of the student

5a:

1 = excellent activity,

2 = very good activity,

3 = average activity,

4 = weaker, but still sufficient activity,

5 = insufficient activity

5b:

1 = excellent self-reliance,

2 = very good self-reliance,

3 = average self-reliance,

4 = weaker, but still sufficient self-reliance,

5 = insufficient self-reliance.

Criteria description:

From your experience with the course of the work on the thesis and its outcome, review the student's activity while working on the thesis, his/her punctuality when meeting the deadlines and whether he/she consulted you as he/she went along and also, whether he/she was well prepared for these consultations (5a). Assess the student's ability to develop independent creative work (5b).

Comments:

Studentka byla velmi aktivní a pečlivě si nastudovala základní rozdělení a funkce SAP ERP systému s větším zaměřením na materiálovou logistiku. Dále velmi podrobně analyzovala potřebné procesy.

Evaluation criterion:

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

6. The overall evaluation

95 (A)

Criteria description:

Summarize which of the aspects of the FT affected your grading process the most. The overall grade does not need to be an arithmetic mean (or other value) calculated from the evaluation in the previous criteria. Generally, a well-fulfilled assignment is assessed by grade A.

Comments:

Studentka zvládla náročnou práci ve velké mezinárodní firmě, kde zejména analýza a definování potřebných procesů bylo poměrně složité. Prokázala schopnost samostatné tvůrčí práce, kterou dotáhla do úspěšného konce. Práci hodnotím jako výbornou.

Signature of the supervisor: