

REVIEWER'S OPINION OF FINAL THESIS

I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

Thesis name: Alcohol content measurement within the fermentation process

Author's name: Gil Goldman

Type of thesis: bachelor

Faculty/Institute: Faculty of Electrical Engineering (FEE)
Department: Department of Control Engineering

Thesis reviewer: Ing. Jan Vondraš Ph.D, MBA

Reviewer's department: N/A

II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

Assignment ordinarily challenging

Evaluation of thesis difficulty of assignment.

The assignment is overall correctly balanced to show the student's ability to work with literature via analyzing existing measurement techniques of an alcohol content within the fermentation process in home breweries. Afterwards the student was to show an ability to work independently and choose best technique or combination thereof, implement in a prototype and evaluate performances of the prototype.

Satisfaction of assignment

fulfilled with minor objections

Assess that handed thesis meets assignment. Present points of assignment that fell short or were extended. Try to assess importance, impact or cause of each shortcoming.

Overall the assignment was handled appropriately. The student has combined well existing hygrometer technique with an ultrasonic way of measurement of the hygrometer position. The level of details especially regarding positives and negatives of chosen solution is somehow limited/missing.

Method of conception

correct

Assess that student has chosen correct approach or solution methods.

The student correctly started by an evaluation of possible existing methods for a measurement of a fermentation process progress applicable for home beer brewing. Furthermore the choice of the hygrometer in combination of a measurement of its floating portion above fermenting fluid level is an interesting approach. However, choice of an ultrasonic measurement might not be a best choice as there is typically foam or remains of foam on the top of the fermenting fluid level that may impact the accuracy of measurements.

Technical level D - satisfactory.

Assess level of thesis specialty, use of knowledge gained by study and by expert literature, use of sources and data gained by experience.

The technical level of the work had a certain width with perceived lack of the depth as noted in the examples below.

For instance the student seemed to omit inclusion of the second most common technique within home brewing community being a use of a simple optical refractometer to determine remaining sugar content within the fermenting fluid. Describing gas chromatography approach seems little irrelevant for home brewers.

Furthermore the thesis misses mentioning of cooling in figure 1.1 (rendering it uncomplete) and any discussion of the cooling process importance beside one sentence in point 1.5 of the thesis. Additionally it is little unclear why the humidity sensor was used at all and how the temperature and other drifts of the ultrasonic sensor would be addressed.

Additionally there is missing overall picture of the sensor arrangement which leaves a reader without clear guidance to the proposed solution – how would be the ultrasonic sensor actually arranged to measure the floating hygrometer.

Discussion on the cost seems very optimistic – missing labor, possibly potting of the equipment, enclosure and other items that would significantly contribute the final cost.



REVIEWER'S OPINION OF **FINAL THESIS**

Formal and language level, scope of thesis

B - very good.

Assess correctness of usage of formal notation. Assess typographical and language arrangement of thesis. Command of the English language is good. Minor confusion as to use of words dissertation and thesis interchangeably. Would have preferred little more clarity in the thesis overall.

Selection of sources, citation correctness

B - very good.

Present your opinion to student's activity when obtaining and using study materials for thesis creation. Characterize selection of sources. Assess that student used all relevant sources. Verify that all used elements are correctly distinguished from own results and thoughts. Assess that citation ethics has not been breached and that all bibliographic citations are complete and in accordance with citation convention and standards.

Sources cited seemed chosen well and used throughout the thesis. Some chapters or their portions 1.3 (page 3), 1.6, 3.2 and others lacked references where it might have been appropriate.

Additional commentary and evaluation

Present your opinion to achieved primary goals of thesis, e.g. level of theoretical results, level and functionality of technical or software conception, publication performance, experimental dexterity etc. Please insert your commentary (voluntary evaluation).

III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR DEFENSE, CLASSIFICATION SUGGESTION

Summarize thesis aspects that swayed your final evaluation. Please present apt questions which student should answer during defense.

Overall the thesis is fairly well written and structured with clarity and content that is adequate to a bachelor level.

Taking the above mentioned details in mind the following questions I would like the following questions to be asked at the defense:

- 1. What impact on the measurement would have the foam that is present on the top of fermenting fluid?
- 2. What impact on the measurement would have the environment temperature and time related drift of the ultrasonic sensor?

I evaluate handed thesis with classification grade C - good.

Date: 12.6.2018 Signature: Jan Vondraš