

Bachelor thesis supervisor's assessment

Student:Hikmatullah SalarziyThesis Title:Apartment buildingThesis Supervisor:doc. Ing. Tomáš Čejka, Ph.D.Date of Thesis Assignment:30.5.2016

I. Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Criteria	А	В	с	D	E	F
Objectives and thesis assignment			\square			
Formal and graphic level				\square		
Thesis clarity				\square		
Student's work during the semester						

Poznámka: Políčka v tabulce zaškrtnete pomocí dvojitého kliknutí na políčko myší (vybrat "Výchozí hodnota = zaškrtnuto"), nebo místo něj do příslušné buňky tabulky vepište znak X.

II. Oral Evaluation

Basis for evaluation of individual criteria (required, ¼ - ½ page):

The submitted task was focused on design of an apartment building.

Formal and graphical level of submitted thesis is poor. The work contains a considerable amount of errors both in the technical drawings of structures and the use of technical terminology.

The clarity of thesis is worse, there is not a clear link various parts drawing project documentation - detail D1 does not match the section A-A, missing detail staircase, etc.

Student consulted unregulary. For consultations doesn't come with any variants of solutions over which we could be conducted and discussed.and on that basic he would have chosen the best solution. Passively receives informations and interpretations of the solved problem.

III. Overall Assessment

As a thesis supervisor I evaluate the submitted thesis with the grade:

.....D (satisfactory).....

Grading scale used:

A	В	С	D	Ε	F
excellent	very good	good	satisfactory	sufficient	failed

V. Result

Based on the above as a thesis supervisor:

\square	I recommend the master thesis for defense	
	I don't recommend the master thesis for defense	

In Prague. date 20.6.2016

Thesis Supervisor