



## **Bachelor thesis supervisor's review**

**Master thesis:**           **Distribution systems with renewable sources**

**Author:**                 **Tigran Avakyan**

**Thesis supervisor:**   **Ing. František Vybíralík, CSc.**

Rating (1 – 5)  
(1 = best; 5 = worst):

1. Fulfillment of assignment requirements:	<input type="text" value="1"/>
2. Self-reliance and initiative during the thesis solution:	<input type="text" value="1"/>
3. Systematic solutions of individual tasks:	<input type="text" value="1"/>
4. Ability to apply knowledge and to use literature:	<input type="text" value="1"/>
5. Collaboration and consultations with the thesis supervisor:	<input type="text" value="2"/>
6. Thesis formal and language level:	<input type="text" value="1"/>
7. Thesis readability and structuring:	<input type="text" value="2"/>
8. Thesis professional level:	<input type="text" value="1"/>
9. Conclusions and their formulation:	<input type="text" value="2"/>
<b>10. Final mark evaluation (A, B, C, D, E, F):</b>	<input type="text" value="A"/>

**verbal:**  
**Excellent**

### **Brief summary evaluation of the thesis (compulsory):**

At the Bachelor's thesis the author gave an overview of the renewable energy sources. The work is focused on the photovoltaic power plants. The author applies the Rules for connecting dispersed generation to the distribution system. The last part of his work is devoted a case study for connecting photovoltaic plant to the distribution network.

Student applies his findings from a study of professional subjects at university study. The student was working on his task completely independently and initiatively during semester. At consultations came prepared and his approach to partial task was good.

The work has a logical sequence of chapters and its graphical design is very good.

**Recommendation to the defense:**           **I recommend**

Date: 17. 1. 2017

Signature:





**Notes:**

- 1) The total thesis evaluation needn't be determined by the partial evaluations average.
- 2) The total evaluation (item 8) should be from the following scale:

excellent	very good	good	satisfactory	sufficient	insufficient
A	B	C	D	E	F