# Review report of a final thesis

# **Czech Technical University in Prague**

**Faculty of Information Technology** 

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

Student: Jean-Gaël Rigot Reviewer: Ing. Jiří Kašpar

Thesis title: Attacks on White-Box AES

Branch of the study: **Computer Security** 

Evaluation criterion:

<b>Date:</b> 8. 6. 2016	
Evaluation criterion:	The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.
<ol> <li>Difficulty and other comments on the assignment</li> </ol>	1 = extremely challenging assignment, <b>2 = rather difficult assignment,</b> 3 = assignment of average difficulty,  4 = easier, but still sufficient assignment,  5 = insufficient assignment
Criteria description: Characterize this final thesis in detail and its relationships to previous or co overlook some shortcomings that you would not in case of an easy assign strictly.)	urrent projects. Comment what is difficult about this thesis (in case of a more difficult thesis, you may nment, and on the contrary, with an easy assignment those shortcomings should be evaluated more
Comments:	
Non-trivial problem above average assignments.	
Evaluation criterion:	The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.
2. Fulfilment of the assignment	<ul> <li>1 = assignment fulfilled,</li> <li>2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections,</li> <li>3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections,</li> <li>4 = assignment not fulfilled</li> </ul>
	indicate parts of the assignment that have not been fulfilled, completely or partially, or extensions of letely fulfilled, try to assess the importance, impact, and possibly also the reason of the insufficiencies.
Evaluation criterion:	The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.
3. Size of the main written part	1 = meets the criteria, 2 = meets the criteria with minor objections, 3 = meets the criteria with major objections, 4 = does not meet the criteria
Criteria description: Evaluate the adequacy of the extent of the final thesis, considering its cont does not contain unnecessary parts.  Comments:	ent and the size of the written part, i.e. that all parts of the thesis are rich on information and the text
It meets the criteria.	
Evaluation criterion:	The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).
4. Factual and logical level of the thesis	95 (A)
Criteria description: Assess whether the thesis is correct as to the facts or if there are factual err the comprehensibility of the text for a reader.	rors and inaccuracies. Evaluate further the logical structure of the thesis, links among the chapters, and
Comments:	
The text is well structured and understandable.	,
Evaluation criterion:	The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).
5. Formal level of the thesis	90 (A)
Criteria description: Assess the correctness of formalisms used in the thesis, the typographical ar	nd linguistic aspect s, see Dean's Directive No. 12/2014, Article 3.
Comments:	
Formally correct with exception of some pictures which require magnifying glass.	
Evaluation criterion:	The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).
6. Bibliography	95 (A)
sources, or whether he tried to solve problems that were already solved. A contributions. Comment if there was a possible violation of the citation et	is in his thesis. Characterize the choice of the sources. Discuss whether the student used all relevant Verify that all elements taken from other sources are properly differentiated from his own results and hics and if the bibliographical references are complete and in compliance with citation standards.
Comments: Extensive list of sources, cited properly.	
extensive list of sources, cited property.	

## 7. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards

95 (A)

Comment on the achieved level of major results of the thesis and indicate whether the main results of the thesis extend published state-of-the-art results and/or bring completely new findings. Assess the quality and functionality of hardware or software solutions. Alternatively, evaluate whether the software or source code that was not created by the student himself was used in accordance with the license terms and copyright. Comment on possible publication output or awards related to the thesis.

The new implementation of a white-box AES encryption can be published after more comprehensive evaluation.

No evaluation scale

### 8. Applicability of the results

Criteria description: Indicate the potential of using the results of the thesis in practice.

Comments:

The results can be used for further research.

No evaluation scale. Evaluation criterion:

### 9. Questions for the defence

Formulate any question(s) that the student should answer to the committee during the defence (use a bullet list).

Questions:

Well done, no other questions are required.

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F). Evaluation criterion:

95 (A) 10. The overall evaluation

Criteria description:

Summarize the parts of the thesis that had major impact on your evaluation. The overall evaluation does not have to be the arithmetic mean or any other formula with the values from the previous evaluation criteria 1 to 9.

Very good solution of rather complex assignment.

Signature of the reviewer: