THESIS REVIEWER'S REPORT



I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

Thesis title:	Smart parking management and planning in urban areas
Author's name:	Martin Čirkov
Type of thesis :	master
Faculty/Institute:	Faculty of Transportation Sciences (FTS)
Department:	Department of Logistics and Management of Transport
Thesis reviewer:	Pavel Vařacha
Reviewer's department:	Tomas Bata University in Zlín

II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

Assignment

How demanding was the assigned project? The demands necessary to fulfill the assigned project were adequate in accordance with the master thesis level.

Fulfilment of assignment

How well does the thesis fulfil the assigned task? Have the primary goals been achieved? Which assigned tasks have been incompletely covered, and which parts of the thesis are overextended? Justify your answer. The assigned task was fulfilled well, and all primary goals have been reached. The length of the thesis is appropriate

considering a typical master thesis, and it does not consist of any significantly overextended parts.

Methodology

Comment on the correctness of the approach and/or the solution methods. The author solved problems using correct engineering methods.

Technical level

Is the thesis technically sound? How well did the student employ expertise in the field of his/her field of study? Does the student explain clearly what he/she has done?

The explanatory part of the thesis is straightforward, easy to understand, and technologically sound. The author used appropriate technical expertise in accordance with his level of study.

Formal and language level, scope of thesis

Are formalisms and notations used properly? Is the thesis organized in a logical way? Is the thesis sufficiently extensive? Is the thesis well-presented? Is the language clear and understandable? Is the English satisfactory?

The English level of the thesis is more than satisfactory. The thesis is formally correct, and its extent is in accordance with a typical master thesis. The thesis is organized logically. Formalisms and notations are presented correctly.

Selection of sources, citation correctness

Does the thesis make adequate reference to earlier work on the topic? Was the selection of sources adequate? Is the student's original work clearly distinguished from earlier work in the field? Do the bibliographic citations meet the standards?

The citation form meets the standard. The author used enough correct sources. Its work is clearly distinguished from the work of referenced authors.

Additional commentary and evaluation (optional)

Comment on the overall quality of the thesis, its novelty and its impact on the field, its strengths and weaknesses, the utility of the solution that is presented, the theoretical/formal level, the student's skillfulness, etc.

The overall quality of the thesis is excellent. Its novelty is adequate for the master thesis level. I want to stress that the author successfully creates an excellent work in the challenging conditions of the CTU - UTEP dual degree program, further complicated by the covid pandemic.

A - excellent.

challenging

fulfilled

correct

A - excellent.

A - excellent.

THESIS REVIEWER'S REPORT



III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR THE PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE THESIS, SUGGESTED GRADE

Summarize your opinion on the thesis and explain your final grading. Pose questions that should be answered during the presentation and defense of the student's work.

The grade that I award for the thesis is A - excellent.

I conclude that the author successfully concluded all main goals of the thesis and therefore recommend this thesis to be defended in front of the committee.

Date: 4.6.2022

Signature: