

I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

Thesis title:	Examination of Electrical Energy Quality of Gas Condensate Field
Author's name:	Polina Kononenko
Type of thesis :	master
Faculty/Institute:	Faculty of Electrical Engineering (FEE)
Department:	Department of Economics, Management and Humanities
Thesis reviewer:	Ing. Bc. Blanka Kučerková
Reviewer's department:	Department of Economics, Management and Humanities

II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

Assignment <i>How demanding was the assigned project?</i>	challenging
Thesis difficulty is challenging.	

Fulfilment of assignment <i>How well does the thesis fulfil the assigned task? Have the primary goals been achieved? Which assigned tasks have been incompletely covered, and which parts of the thesis are overextended? Justify your answer.</i>	fulfilled
The thesis meets all requirements given in guidelines.	

Activity and independence when creating final thesis <i>Assess whether the student had a positive approach, whether the time limits were met, whether the conception was regularly consulted and whether the student was well prepared for the consultations. Assess the student's ability to work independently.</i>	B - very good.
The student had a positive approach, and she consulted regularly. In the technical part she was able to work independently, in the economic part, we sometimes had to return to the topics we had already discussed.	

Technical level <i>Is the thesis technically sound? How well did the student employ expertise in his/her field of study? Does the student explain clearly what he/she has done?</i>	A - excellent.
The chosen approach is fully corresponding with the scope of the problem. The student used knowledge from the literature and she was able to apply it for the solution of the specific problem.	

Formal level and language level, scope of thesis <i>Are formalisms and notations used properly? Is the thesis organized in a logical way? Is the thesis sufficiently extensive? Is the thesis well-presented? Is the language clear and understandable? Is the English satisfactory?</i>	A - excellent.
Formal and language level is excellent. I have found no shortcomings in this area.	

Selection of sources, citation correctness <i>Does the thesis make adequate reference to earlier work on the topic? Was the selection of sources adequate? Is the student's original work clearly distinguished from earlier work in the field? Do the bibliographic citations meet the standards?</i>	A - excellent.
The student had chosen relevant sources. I found no violation of the citation system and conventions.	

Additional commentary and evaluation (optional) <i>Comment on the overall quality of the thesis, its novelty and its impact on the field, its strengths and weaknesses, the utility of the solution that is presented, the theoretical/formal level, the student's skillfulness, etc.</i>



Please insert your comments here.

III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR THE PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE THESIS, SUGGESTED GRADE

Summarize your opinion on the thesis and explain your final grading.

The student demonstrated adequate knowledge in the field and included all relevant aspects for technical and economic evaluation. I recommend the master thesis for defense.

The grade that I award for the thesis is **A - excellent**.

Date: **9.6.2022**

Signature: