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### Assignment

**How demanding was the assigned project?**

Please insert your comments here.

**Ordinarily challenging**

### Fulfilment of assignment

**How well does the thesis fulfil the assigned task? Have the primary goals been achieved? Which assigned tasks have been incompletely covered, and which parts of the thesis are overextended? Justify your answer.**

The student fulfilled the assignment.

### Methodology

**Comment on the correctness of the approach and/or the solution methods.**

The student chose the correct solution procedure.

**Correct**

### Technical level

**Is the thesis technically sound? How well did the student employ expertise in the field of his/her field of study? Does the student explain clearly what he/she has done?**
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III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR THE PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE THESIS, SUGGESTED GRADE

The student fulfilled the assignment. The work deals with the possibilities of using Arduino to manage a smart house.

In the first part, the author dealt with the possibilities offered by Arduino and how it could be used to manage a smart house (Internet of Things). The second part describes the types of sensors that could be used and their specific selection suitable for the Arduino. The third part deals with the practical implementation of connecting all sensors into one Arduino and programming the Arduino itself. The work contains minor grammatical errors. At the end of the work is also outlined the future continuation, which I appreciate.

I recommend the thesis for defense.

The grade that I award for the thesis is **B - very good.**

Questions

1. Arduino was used in the work. What type of equipment could be used instead of Arduino?
2. How many numbers of Arduino should be used for real and for real house?
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