

**I. IDENTIFICATION DATA**

<b>Thesis title:</b>	<b>Process streamlining of a software development team in a start-up based on project management and agile methodologies</b>
<b>Author's name:</b>	<b>Bui Thuy Hanh</b>
<b>Type of thesis :</b>	master
<b>Faculty/Institute:</b>	Masaryk Institute of Advanced Studies (MIAS)
<b>Department:</b>	Institute of Management Studies
<b>Thesis reviewer:</b>	Oldřich Bronec, M. Eng., Ph.D.
<b>Reviewer's department:</b>	Institute of Management Studies

**II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA**

<b>Assignment</b> <i>How demanding was the assigned project?</i>	<b>challenging</b>
<b>Agile project management methodology makes sense especially in the field of IT and startups. As it is a relatively young methodology, not fully used yet, I consider it challenging</b>	

<b>Fulfilment of assignment</b> <i>How well does the thesis fulfil the assigned task? Have the primary goals been achieved? Which assigned tasks have been incompletely covered, and which parts of the thesis are overextended? Justify your answer.</i>	<b>fulfilled</b>
<b>The thesis, with its structure and content, fully fulfills the given goal. I am particularly satisfied with the structure of the thesis, which fully meets the formal requirements for the thesis</b>	

<b>Methodology</b> <i>Comment on the correctness of the approach and/or the solution methods.</i>	<b>correct</b>
<b>The chosen methodology of the thesis corresponds to the needs</b>	

<b>Technical level</b> <i>Is the thesis technically sound? How well did the student employ expertise in the field of his/her field of study? Does the student explain clearly what he/she has done?</i>	<b>A - excellent.</b>
<b>Although the content of each part is concise in content, it is very well structured and meaningful. It fully covers the topic and the objectives entered. That's why I choose the excellent rating</b>	

<b>Formal and language level, scope of thesis</b> <i>Are formalisms and notations used properly? Is the thesis organized in a logical way? Is the thesis sufficiently extensive? Is the thesis well-presented? Is the language clear and understandable? Is the English satisfactory?</i>	<b>A - excellent.</b>
<b>The student chose the English language for the thesis, what is correct in the given context and useful for the future of the student. Language and professional level of work is very good. The thesis is of the appropriate size</b>	

<b>Selection of sources, citation correctness</b> <i>Does the thesis make adequate reference to earlier work on the topic? Was the selection of sources adequate? Is the student's original work clearly distinguished from earlier work in the field? Do the bibliographic citations meet the standards?</i>	<b>A - excellent.</b>
<b>The selected literature of the thesis is comprehensive and adequate. I also rate very well the way of quoting</b>	

<b>Additional commentary and evaluation (optional)</b> <i>Comment on the overall quality of the thesis, its novelty and its impact on the field, its strengths and weaknesses, the utility of the solution that is presented, the theoretical/formal level, the student's skillfulness, etc.</i>
---

**I have no comments to this work**

**III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR THE PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE THESIS, SUGGESTED GRADE**

*Summarize your opinion on the thesis and explain your final grading. Pose questions that should be answered during the presentation and defense of the student's work.*

**The thesis meets all the criteria for excellent rating. I don't have supplementary questions**

**The grade that I award for the thesis is A - excellent.**

**Date: 1.9.2021**

**Signature:**

