

I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Thesis name: | Watermarked speech subjective testing |
| Author's name: | Lucie Mühlfeitová |
| Type of thesis : | bachelor |
| Faculty/Institute: | Faculty of Electrical Engineering (FEE) |
| Department: | Department of Measurement |
| Thesis reviewer: | prof. Ing. Peter Počta, PhD. |
| Reviewer's department: | University of Žilina, Department of Multimedia and Information and Communication Technology |

II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

| | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Assignment | ordinarily challenging |
| <i>Evaluation of thesis difficulty of assignment.</i> | |
| I have found the thesis difficulty ordinary for this type of thesis. | |

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Satisfaction of assignment | fulfilled |
| <i>Assess that handed thesis meets assignment. Present points of assignment that fell short or were extended. Try to assess importance, impact or cause of each shortcoming.</i> | |
| In my view, the assignment was fulfilled. None of the points was extended or has fallen short. | |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Method of conception | correct |
| <i>Assess that student has chosen correct approach or solution methods.</i> | |
| The deployed approach is, in my view, fully correct. | |

| | |
|---|-----------------------|
| Technical level | B - very good. |
| <i>Assess level of thesis specialty, use of knowledge gained by study and by expert literature, use of sources and data gained by experience.</i> | |
| The student has acquired a sufficient knowledge by studying the corresponding literature in order to design and run the subjective test. | |

| | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Formal and language level, scope of thesis | A - excellent. |
| <i>Assess correctness of usage of formal notation. Assess typographical and language arrangement of thesis.</i> | |
| I have found all the assessed aspects, i.e. the usage of formal notation, typographical and language arrangement of thesis, excellent. | |

| | |
|--|-----------------------|
| Selection of sources, citation correctness | A - excellent. |
| <i>Present your opinion to student's activity when obtaining and using study materials for thesis creation. Characterize selection of sources. Assess that student used all relevant sources. Verify that all used elements are correctly distinguished from own results and thoughts. Assess that citation ethics has not been breached and that all bibliographic citations are complete and in accordance with citation convention and standards.</i> | |
| All the deployed sources are, in my view, relevant. The deployed elements are clearly distinguished from the own results and thoughts. Moreover, the citation ethics has not been breached and all the bibliographic citations are complete and in accordance with the citation convention and standards. | |

| |
|---|
| Additional commentary and evaluation |
| <i>Present your opinion to achieved primary goals of thesis, e.g. level of theoretical results, level and functionality of technical or software conception, publication performance, experimental dexterity etc.</i> |
| I have found the presented results relevant and interesting for the corresponding research community. |

III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR DEFENSE, CLASSIFICATION SUGGESTION

Summarize thesis aspects that swayed your final evaluation. Please present apt questions which student should answer during defense.

In my view, a topic of the thesis is actual, and the results presented in the thesis are relevant for the corresponding research community. Moreover, the thesis is well written.

Question:

1. *Would be results achieved by the DCR method different as those obtained by the ACR method? If so, what would be a main difference?*

I evaluate handed thesis with classification grade **A - excellent**.

Date: **20.8.2021**

Signature: