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In my opinion this work does not fully convince, the plots seem to be only a single run, which makes generalization complicated. Some statistical analysis would have been useful at this point. Besides, having a test look on one of two real examples and to see the performance there should have been addressed, but it was not in the assignment. This topic seems interesting and could be looked further into, yet I can not tell if these results are meaningful. At the end, this work seems unfinished. That being said, the thesis' structure and results were satisfactory and the assignments were covered. Because the tasks also seemed a bit challenging, I would give this work a C-grade (Good).
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What is the motivation to use CNNs and not Recurrent Neuronal Networks? According to your work is there a clear winning algorithm and could you justify your answer?

The grade that I award for the thesis is C-good.
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