# THESIS REVIEWER’S REPORT

## I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thesis title:</th>
<th>Apartment Building Multifunctional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author’s name:</td>
<td>Bc. Yosufi Mohammad Fayez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of thesis:</td>
<td>master</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty/Institute:</td>
<td>Faculty of Civil Engineering (FCE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department:</td>
<td>Department of Building Construction ČVUT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thesis reviewer:</td>
<td>Ing. Marek Novotný, Ph.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer’s department:</td>
<td>Department of Building Construction I - FA ČVUT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

### Assignment

**How demanding was the assigned project?**

In my opinion, the assignment fully corresponds to the diploma project, both in terms of scope and the actual processing.

### Fulfilment of assignment
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