

# Supervisor's statement of a final thesis

Student: Anna Zderadičková

**Supervisor:** doc. Ing. Tomáš Pajdla, Ph.D.

Thesis title: HoloCopy - 3D copy with Hololens Branch of the study: Web and Software Engineering

Date: 16. 6. 2020

#### Evaluation criterion:

The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.

## 1. Fulfilment of the assignment

 $\frac{1}{2}$  = assignment fulfilled,  $\frac{1}{2}$  = assignment fulfilled with minor objections,

3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections,

4 = assignment not fulfilled

#### Criteria description

Assess whether the submitted FT defines the objectives sufficiently and in line with the assignment; whether the objectives are formulated correctly and fulfilled sufficiently. In the comment, specify the points of the assignment that have not been met, assess the severity, impact, and, if appropriate, also the cause of the deficiencies. If the assignment differs substantially from the standards for the FT or if the student has developed the FT beyond the assignment, describe the way it got reflected on the quality of the assignment's fulfillment and the way it affected your final evaluation.

#### Comments:

The thesis fulfilled all its goals set in the assignment. Technologies behind Hololens and 3D reconstruction from photographs have been studied, tested, and understood. A complete system for image acquisition, 3D reconstructions, coordinate system alignment, visualization, and a user interface has been implemented. As a result, a fully functional system has been produced and demonstrated.

# Evaluation criterion:

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

### 2. Main written part

90 (A)

#### Criteria description:

Evaluate whether the extent of the FT is adequate to its content and scope: are all the parts of the FT contentful and necessary? Next, consider whether the submitted FT is actually correct – are there factual errors or inaccuracies? Evaluate the logical structure of the FT, the thematic flow between chapters and whether the text is comprehensible to the reader. Assess whether the formal notations in the FT are used correctly. Assess the typographic and language aspects of the FT, follow the Dean's Directive No. 26/2017, Art.

3. Evaluate whether the relevant sources are properly used, quoted and cited. Verify that all quotes are properly distinguished from the results achieved in the FT, thus, that the citation ethics has not been violated and that the citations are complete and in accordance with citation practices and standards. Finally, evaluate whether the software and other copyrighted works have been used in accordance with their license terms.

#### Comments:

The thesis is well structured, reasonably well written with a few minor typos. Technologies are explained and engineering choices reasonably defended. Experimental validation is sufficient to demonstrate that the approach is functional and does what was required. However, to achieve a presentation on CVPR/ICCV/ECCV quality level, a more thorough evaluation, presentation, and discussion, would be necessary. This level of standard goes beyond the standard requirements of MSc studies. However, we have to aim at the highest standards in the future to succeed in global competition.

### Evaluation criterion:

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

### 3. Non-written part, attachments

100 (A)

#### Criteria description:

Depending on the nature of the FT, comment on the non-written part of the thesis. For example: SW work – the overall quality of the program. Is the technology used (from the development to deployment) suitable and adequate? HW – functional sample. Evaluate the technology and tools used. Research and experimental work – repeatability of the experiment.

#### Comments.

The system is fully functional. I also value "reverse engineering" work that had to be done in order to get data from Hololens efficiently.

## Evaluation criterion:

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

# 4. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards

100 (A)

#### Criteria description

Depending on the nature of the thesis, estimate whether the thesis results could be deployed in practice; alternatively, evaluate whether the results of the FT extend the already published/known results or whether they bring in completely new findings.

#### Comments:

The results are excellent. This work is an important component for EU H-2020 ARtwin Project. Anna Zderadickova continues in developing the system for the project.

5. Activity and self-reliance of the student

The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.

5a

1 = excellent activity, 2 = very good activity, 3 = average activity,

4 = weaker, but still sufficient activity,

5 = insufficient activity

5b:

1 = excellent self-reliance,2 = very good self-reliance,

3 = average self-reliance,

4 = weaker, but still sufficient self-reliance,

5 = insufficient self-reliance.

From your experience with the course of the work on the thesis and its outcome, review the student's activity while working on the thesis, his/her punctuality when meeting the deadlines and whether he/she consulted you as he/she went along and also, whether he/she was well prepared for these consultations (5a). Assess the student's ability to develop independent creative work (5b).

Anna Zderadickova was a very motivated, capable, and hard-working student. She worked independently and demonstrated advanced capabilities in software engineering, as well as in development work in general.

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

6. The overall evaluation

98 (A)

Criteria description:

Summarize which of the aspects of the FT affected your grading process the most. The overall grade does not need to be an arithmetic mean (or other value) calculated from the evaluation in the previous criteria. Generally, a well-fulfilled assignment is assessed by grade A.

Anna Zderadickova presented a very professional engineering work and fulfilled all the goals set in the assignment. She mastered advanced techniques and technologies and developed a fully functional system.

Signature of the supervisor: