

## I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

<b>Thesis name:</b>	<b>Efficiency estimation of industrial equipment in ore-dressing and processing enterprise</b>
<b>Author's name:</b>	<b>Olga Bolotnikova</b>
<b>Type of thesis :</b>	master
<b>Faculty/Institute:</b>	Faculty of Electrical Engineering (FEE)
<b>Department:</b>	Department of Economics, Management and Humanities
<b>Thesis supervisor:</b>	Július Bemš
<b>Supervisor's department:</b>	Department of Economics, Management and Humanities

## II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

<b>Assignment</b> <i>Evaluation of thesis difficulty of assignment.</i>	<b>ordinarily challenging</b>
Thesis difficulty is average.	

<b>Satisfaction of assignment</b> <i>Assess that handed thesis meets assignment. Present points of assignment that fell short or were extended. Try to assess importance, impact or cause of each shortcoming.</i>	<b>fulfilled</b>
Thesis meets all requirements without shortcomings.	

<b>Activity and independence when creating final thesis</b> <i>Assess that student had positive approach, time limits were met, conception was regularly consulted and was well prepared for consultations. Assess student's ability to work independently.</i>	<b>A - excellent.</b>
The student had a very positive approach, and she was regularly visiting me for consultation. Olga was always prepared, met deadlines, was making notes and was diligently working her tasks and comments received from me. She is able to work independently.	

<b>Technical level</b> <i>Assess level of thesis specialty, use of knowledge gained by study and by expert literature, use of sources and data gained by experience.</i>	<b>A - excellent.</b>
Diploma thesis technical level is excellent. The author used knowledge from expert literature and was independently able to apply it for the solution of the specific problem.	

<b>Formal and language level, scope of thesis</b> <i>Assess correctness of usage of formal notation. Assess typographical and language arrangement of thesis.</i>	<b>C - good.</b>
Formal and language level is good. The thesis contains minor shortcomings, but these do not have a significant impact on the quality of the presented text. For example, the absence of reasonable rounding on page 42.	

<b>Selection of sources, citation correctness</b> <i>Present your opinion to student's activity when obtaining and using study materials for thesis creation. Characterize selection of sources. Assess that student used all relevant sources. Verify that all used elements are correctly distinguished from own results and thoughts. Assess that citation ethics has not been breached and that all bibliographic citations are complete and in accordance with citation convention and standards.</i>	<b>A - excellent.</b>
The student was active when obtaining and using study materials. She has chosen all relevant available sources. I found no violation of the citation system and conventions.	

<b>Additional commentary and evaluation</b> <i>Present your opinion to achieved primary goals of thesis, e.g. level of theoretical results, level and functionality of technical or software conception, publication performance, experimental dexterity etc.</i>



## SUPERVISOR'S OPINION OF FINAL THESIS

### III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR DEFENSE, CLASSIFICATION SUGGESTION

Thesis fulfilled all my requirements and it was pleasure to work with Olga. Her attitude was very positive and she was devoted to her tasks. Technical level of thesis was outstanding and very detailed following with corresponding economic calculations. The only shortcomings in this thesis are formalities that do not have impact on quality.

I evaluate handed thesis with classification grade **A - excellent**.

Date: **16.6.2020**

Signature: