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### Assignment

**How demanding was the assigned project?**

The assignment's difficulty was moderately high. The student was required to implement the domain of Dark Chess and Kriegspiel himself while still having access to previously existing code that needed to be adjusted to fit his purposes. Furthermore, a novel way of dealing with the domain's unique properties had to be developed, implementing a new loss function as well as integrating convolutional neural networks into the existing pipeline.

### Fulfillment of assignment

**How well does the thesis fulfil the assigned task? Have the primary goals been achieved? Which assigned tasks have been incompletely covered, and which parts of the thesis are overextended? Justify your answer.**
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III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR THE PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE THESIS, SUGGESTED GRADE

Summarize your opinion on the thesis and explain your final grading.

During the duration of the thesis the student continuously progressed and quickly showed results after having implemented and debugged the necessary infrastructure. After initial consultation about the non-trivial theory behind the project he proved to have well understood and internalized the necessary concepts. After having fulfilled all the assigned tasks ahead of time we agreed he would extend the thesis to include the experiment showcased in 5.3. The student demonstrated that he is capable of working scientifically and I recommend awarding the bachelor’s degree.

The grade that I award for the thesis is A.