REVIEWER'S OPINION OF FINAL THESIS

I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

Thesis name:	**Transport SDN Platform for end-to-end network slices configuration & control**
Author's name:	**Ivan Eroshkin**
Type of thesis :	Choose an item.
Faculty/Institute:	Choose an item.
Department:	Click here to enter text.
Thesis reviewer:	Prof. Adlen Ksentini
Reviewer's department:	Click here to enter text.

II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

Assignment	**challenging**
Evaluation of thesis difficulty of assignment.	

Ivan dealt with using SDN in optical networks, by adding components to ONOS in order to manage Nokia Optical devices

Satisfaction of assignment	**fulfilled**
Assess that handed thesis meets assignment. Present points of assignment that fell short or were extended. Try to assess importance, impact or cause of each shortcoming.	

Please insert your commentary.

Method of conception	**correct**
Assess that student has chosen correct approach or solution methods.	

He has dealt with complex environment (Nokia proprietary solution), by adding components to ONOS controller which have been validated by the ONF, and will be included in the next release.

Technical level	**B - very good.**
Assess level of thesis specialty, use of knowledge gained by study and by expert literature, use of sources and data gained by experience.	

Please insert your commentary.

Formal and language level, scope of thesis	**B - very good.**
Assess correctness of usage of formal notation. Assess typographical and language arrangement of thesis.	

Please insert your commentary.

Selection of sources, citation correctness	**C - good.**
Present your opinion to student's activity when obtaining and using study materials for thesis creation. Characterize selection of sources. Assess that student used all relevant sources. Verify that all used elements are correctly distinguished from own results and thoughts. Assess that citation ethics has not been breached and that all bibliographic citations are complete and in accordance with citation convention and standards.	

One of the main weakness of the report is the length of the state of the art part. Indeed, more than 52 pages over 110 are dedicated to the state of the art. Many paragraphs are useless, and could be escaped.

Additional commentary and evaluation
Present your opinion to achieved primary goals of thesis, e.g. level of theoretical results, level and functionality of technical or software conception, publication performance, experimental dexterity etc.

Please insert your commentary (voluntary evaluation).

III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR DEFENSE, CLASSIFICATION SUGGESTION

Summarize thesis aspects that swayed your final evaluation. Please present apt questions which student should answer during defense.

I evaluate handed thesis with classification grade **B - very good.**

Date: **22.1.2020**   Signature: Adlen Ksentini