



# Supervisor's statement of a final thesis

**Student:** Mykyta Boiko  
**Supervisor:** Ing. Stanislav Kuznetsov  
**Thesis title:** Online Clearing center  
**Branch of the study:** Web and Software Engineering

**Date:** 18. 6. 2019

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>Evaluation criterion:</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | <i>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</i>                                                                                                                                             |
| <b>1. Fulfilment of the assignment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | <b><u>1 = assignment fulfilled,</u><br/>2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections,<br/>3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections,<br/>4 = assignment not fulfilled</b> |
| <i>Criteria description:</i><br>Assess whether the submitted FT defines the objectives sufficiently and in line with the assignment; whether the objectives are formulated correctly and fulfilled sufficiently. In the comment, specify the points of the assignment that have not been met, assess the severity, impact, and, if appropriate, also the cause of the deficiencies. If the assignment differs substantially from the standards for the FT or if the student has developed the FT beyond the assignment, describe the way it got reflected on the quality of the assignment's fulfilment and the way it affected your final evaluation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <i>Comments:</i><br>The submitted FT fulfils all the objectives. Student shows the ability to the developed robust system for communication between producer and consumer of the financial market signal based on microtransaction with a nontraditional security layer.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <i>Evaluation criterion:</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | <i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>                                                                                                                     |
| <b>2. Main written part</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | <b>80 (B)</b>                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <i>Criteria description:</i><br>Evaluate whether the extent of the FT is adequate to its content and scope: are all the parts of the FT contentful and necessary? Next, consider whether the submitted FT is actually correct – are there factual errors or inaccuracies? Evaluate the logical structure of the FT, the thematic flow between chapters and whether the text is comprehensible to the reader. Assess whether the formal notations in the FT are used correctly. Assess the typographic and language aspects of the FT, follow the Dean's Directive No. 26/2017, Art. 3. Evaluate whether the relevant sources are properly used, quoted and cited. Verify that all quotes are properly distinguished from the results achieved in the FT, thus, that the citation ethics has not been violated and that the citations are complete and in accordance with citation practices and standards. Finally, evaluate whether the software and other copyrighted works have been used in accordance with their license terms. |                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <i>Comments:</i><br>The submitted FT is rather short but contains all necessary diagrams, so the reader has no problem to understand the architecture of the whole system. The student chooses very well known technologies (PHP, ReactJS), so it was not necessary to describe them in depth. There's a couple of misspellings and grammar errors, but the student is a not native speaker, so it's understandable. Application is integrated with "The PHPUnit Testing Framework." which is a very common solution, so there is no need to describe the integration.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <i>Evaluation criterion:</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | <i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>                                                                                                                     |
| <b>3. Non-written part, attachments</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | <b>95 (A)</b>                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <i>Criteria description:</i><br>Depending on the nature of the FT, comment on the non-written part of the thesis. For example: SW work – the overall quality of the program. Is the technology used (from the development to deployment) suitable and adequate? HW – functional sample. Evaluate the technology and tools used. Research and experimental work – repeatability of the experiment.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <i>Comments:</i><br>The student is a good programmer with practice, so the code is on the professional level. Code is a clear and well commented. Application is robust and modular.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <i>Evaluation criterion:</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | <i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>                                                                                                                     |
| <b>4. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | <b>95 (A)</b>                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <i>Criteria description:</i><br>Depending on the nature of the thesis, estimate whether the thesis results could be deployed in practice; alternatively, evaluate whether the results of the FT extend the already published/known results or whether they bring in completely new findings.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                  |

*Comments:*

The whole system was conceived like the prototype of "clearing centre" using microtransaction and own cryptocurrency for payment. And in my opinion, the student did it very well. Application is clear and good understandable, very nice work.

*Evaluation criterion:*

*The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.*

**5. Activity and self-reliance of the student**

5a:  
**1 = excellent activity,**  
2 = very good activity,  
3 = average activity,  
4 = weaker, but still sufficient activity,  
5 = insufficient activity  
5b:  
**1 = excellent self-reliance,**  
2 = very good self-reliance,  
3 = average self-reliance,  
4 = weaker, but still sufficient self-reliance,  
5 = insufficient self-reliance.

*Criteria description:*

From your experience with the course of the work on the thesis and its outcome, review the student's activity while working on the thesis, his/her punctuality when meeting the deadlines and whether he/she consulted you as he/she went along and also, whether he/she was well prepared for these consultations (5a). Assess the student's ability to develop independent creative work (5b).

*Comments:*

The student was very reliable in delivering as well as joining in discussions and regularly coming to consult his progress.

*Evaluation criterion:*

*The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).*

**6. The overall evaluation**

90 (A)

*Criteria description:*

Summarize which of the aspects of the FT affected your grading process the most. The overall grade does not need to be an arithmetic mean (or other value) calculated from the evaluation in the previous criteria. Generally, a well-fulfilled assignment is assessed by grade A.

*Comments:*

Overall, I'm pleased with the student's work. The student worked alone, developing an interesting solution that will continue to improve in the future. The FT is short but contains all the necessary information. The student is studying SI on the technical university so that the reason why the final software with good quality is more important than FT for me. My final mark is 90 A.

Signature of the supervisor: