## Příloha 4 – Risk analýza

## RISK ASSESSMENT FOR RNP AR APCH (LOWI)

### Introduction

This Risk Assessment is part of the approval process for RNP AR APCH operations at Innsbruck airport.

The assessment gives an attention to all elements, that could possibly affect safety of conducted operation. These elements are – aircraft performance, RNP capability, operating environment, infrastructure and flight crew procedures.

The purpose of this assessment is to evaluate specific risk aspects of this operation, define their likelihood and establish proper mitigations.

### Classification

## Occurrence probability (1-5):

Frequent	5	4	3	2	1	Extremely improbable
----------	---	---	---	---	---	----------------------

### Occurrence severity (A-B):

Catastrophic A B C D E Negligible	
-----------------------------------	--

### Risk assessment matrix:

	Risk severity						
Risk probability	Catastrophic	Hazardous	Major	Minor	Negligible		
	Α	В	С	D	E		
Frequent 5	5A	5B	5C	5D	5E		
Occasional 4	4A	4B	4C	4D	4E		
Remote 3	ЗА	3B	3C	3D	3E		
Improbable 2	2A	2B	2C	2D	2E		
Extremely improbable 1	1A	1B	1C	1D	1E		

# Safety Risk Assessment Record

ld	entified hazard	Associated risk(s)	Existing mitigation measures/defences	Current risk index	Further mitigation measures/ defences	Revised risk index
1.	Aircraft Failures					
a)	System failure:  failure of a navigation system, flight guidance system, flight instrument system for the approach or missed approach	Loss of situational awareness  Loss of RNP capability  Loss of control over the aircraft  Obstacle or terrain proximity  CFIT	Aircraft design  Dual relevant independent systems requirement  ATC radars coverage  EGPWS	4B	Crew training & procedures  Minimum required equipment and its preflight and "before approach" check  Contingency procedures implemented in the approach briefing  Contingency missed approach procedures based on transition to convention navigation with the intention of guiding the aircraft safely without any conflict with terrain – tuning the NAVAIDs prior to the approach	2D
b)	Malfunction of air data system or altimetry	Disagreement of altimeters  Airspeed error  Vertical speed error  Loss of situational Awareness  CFIT	Aircraft design (dual relevant independent systems requirement)  ATC radars coverage	3C	Crew training & procedures  Cross-check between two independent systems	2D
2.	Aircraft performa			_		
a)	Inadequate performance to conduct the approach	Excessive lateral or vertical error during the operation Incorrect display of aircraft's position CFIT	Aircraft design (RNP capability/certification)  Flight planning (including RAIM check)  Aircraft performance check during flight planning, prior to the flight, prior to the approach	3B	Check of the minimum required equipment  Crew training & procedures	1C

b)	Loss of engine  Navigation service	Lower climb gradient (in general lower aircraft performance)  CFIT	Aircraft design  One engine INOP contingency procedures  Aircraft performance check during flight planning, prior to the flight, prior to the approach	2C	Crew training & procedures	1D
a)	Use of NAVAIDs outside of their coverage or in test mode	Incorrect display of aircraft's position  Obstacle or terrain proximity  CFIT	Aircraft design  Morse code verification  NOTAMS  Check of NOTAMs during preflight briefing  ATIS report  EGPWS	2D	Crew training & procedures	2E
b)	Navigation database error	Incorrect flight path  Obstacle or terrain proximity  CFIT	Navigation database update on time  EGPWS	4B	Validation in FSTD or during VMC flight before a very first upload  Validation procedure due to database updates  Check of current nav, database  WPT sequence modification is forbidden  Comparing FMC nav. data and map display with published approach procedure	1D
<b>4.</b> a)	ATC operations  Procedure assigned to non-	Required lateral or vertical accuracy is	Experienced air traffic controllers with proper		Check of the minimum required equipment	
	approved aircraft	not guaranteed  Confusion of the crew  Obstacle or terrain proximity	training  English speaking controllers  Airport categorization	2C	Proper flight plan filling  Airport qualification training - crew is responsible for rejecting such a clearance	1C

b)	ATC commands that cannot be achieved	Navigation system's error/confusion  Vectoring into terrain  Incorrect flight path of RF leg with possibility of CFIT	Experienced air traffic controllers with proper training	3B	Crew training & procedures  Crew knowledge of aircraft performance specifics  Crew is responsible for rejecting the command	1C
c)	Inconsistent phraseology between controller and the flight crew	Language barrier  Misunderstanding of controller's or crew's command/request  Collision with another aircraft in the air or on the runway  Collision with obstacle or terrain	Aircraft design (TCAS)  EGPWS  Experienced air traffic controllers with proper training  English speaking crew and controllers	2C	Only experienced crew with adequate amount of flight hours and level of english	1C
5.	Flight crew opera		<u>r</u>			
a)	Altimeter setting error	Altimeters disagreement	Aircraft design		Crew training & procedures	
		Incorrect reading  Late go-around  Obstacle or terrain proximity  CFIT	EGPWS	4A	Check of current local altimeter setting  Cross-check of altimeters  Verifying the crossing altitude	1C
b)	Incorrect procedure selection or loading	Navigation system's error/confusion  Confusion of the crew  Incorrect flight path	ATC radars coverage  Procedure is visible on the map display	3C	Crew training & procedures  Comparing FMS/map display and chart  Crosscheck of data entered in FMS	1D
c)	Incorrect flight control mode selected	Incorrect flight path  Excessive accuracy errors  Early go-around		3C	Crew training & procedures  Crosscheck of selected modes using FMA	1D

d)	Incorrect RNP	Excessive accuracy errors	Aircraft design (pre-loaded RNPs in FMS)		Crew training & procedures	
	entry	enois	KINFS III FINIO)	20	procedures	10
		Confusion of the crew	RNP value on published charts	3D	Verifying that RNP limits are set in FMS	1C
		Early go-around				
e)	Missed approach	Late go-around  Obstacle or terrain	DA/H and missed approach procedures on published charts		Experienced crew with adequate amount of flight hours	
		proximity	Aircraft performance	2B	Crew training & procedures	1E
			ATC commands		Missed approach	
			EGPWS		procedures and contingency procedures	
f)	Poor meteorological	Loss of visual reference and go-	Aircraft design (WX radar)		Experienced crew with adequate amount of flight	
	conditions	around	Aircraft performance		hours	
		Obstacle and terrain proximity	ATIS reports	2D	Preflight briefing (check TAFs and adverse weather)	1E
		CFIT	TAF/METAR reports		,	
			EGPWS		Crew training in IMC	
6.	Infrastructure					
a)	GNSS failure	Loss of RNP capability	Aircraft design (use of convention NAVAIDs/IRS)		Crew training & procedures	
		Excessive accuracy errors	NOTAMs		Contingency procedures implemented in the approach briefing	
		Incorrect display of aircraft's position	Flight planning (including RAIM check)		Contingency missed	
		Incorrect flight path	Conventional NAVAIDs	2B	approach procedures based on transition to convention navigation	1D
		Loss of situational awareness			with the intention of guiding the aircraft safely without any conflict with terrain – tuning the NAVAIDs prior to the approach	
					Checking the displayed alerts	
b)	Loss of GNSS signals	Loss of RNP capability	Aircraft design (dual relevant independent systems requirement + IRS)	3B	Checking the displayed alerts	2D

7.	Operating conditi	Excessive accuracy errors  Incorrect display of aircraft's position  Incorrect flight path  Loss of situational awareness	Flight planning (including RAIM check)  Conventional NAVAIDs		Contingency procedures implemented in the approach briefing  Contingency missed approach procedures based on transition to convention navigation with the intention of guiding the aircraft safely without any conflict with terrain – tuning the NAVAIDs prior to the approach	
a)	Tailwind conditions	Terrain or obstacle proximity  Runway excursion	Aircraft design (wind components shown on map display)  ATIS/METAR report  Possibility of landing RWY change	3C	EGPWS requirement  Crew training & procedures  Pilots cannot accept RNP AR with tailwind component exceeding 5 KT (request opposite RWY)	1D
b)	Wind conditions	Excessive lateral and vertical errors due to turbulences or strong winds  Go-around	Aircraft design	3C	Experienced crew with adequate amount of flight hours  Crew training & procedures  Pilots cannot commence RNP AR APCH if windspeed exceeds 25 KT and gust exceeds 40 KT	1C