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The History of Conflict
Examining the events that may have caused conflict or tension.

Resolution in Architecture
Exploring the typographical precedent set in Architecture.

The Art of Reconciliation
Examining and defining Reconciliation in both the personal and physical contexts.

The Site of Reconciliation
Analyzing and marking the proposed site for the project.

The Proposal
Looking at the proposed design and drawings.
EXAMINING HISTORIC AND CURRENT EVENTS THAT MAY BE DIRECT OR INDIRECT SOURCES OF CONFLICTION OR TENSION IN THE REGION.

CZECH CONFLICT

With so many cultures, ethnicities and nations so close together, Europe has been a place of conflict and war for centuries.

With such close proximity to neighbouring countries and a diverse collection of cultures, Europe is at times a melting pot of conflicting religions, ideologies and identities. The Czech Republic (formerly part of Czechoslovakia) sits geographically central in Europe. It is therefore no surprise that the Czech Republic has been involved in over 70 recorded wars through its history.

The lasting effects of these wars can be greater than the tangible and fiscal. Long lasting and deep rooted confliction and tension is often left behind.

After the Second World War, and the near complete desimation of Europe, Europeans had the foresight to plan against future conflicts. They saw communication, co-operation and community as necessary tools for the successful and peaceful progression of Europe. This ideology gave way to the creation of the European Union which would act as a forum and entity for the peaceful co-operation and existence of its members. The European Union has without a doubt performed an enormous role in the successful formation of many strong European countries and enabled Europe to trade openly and freely with the world.

The collaborative economic success of the Union has attempted to prevent any one nation falling into economic despair (as Germany did prior the war) but in some ways failed to address growing conflict in particular regions throughout Europe.

There is varying degrees of conflict throughout Europe, ranging from social prejudice in sports, to ethnic cleansing or genocide. The historic examples of war and conflict show us that Europe is a place of intense confliction and it is therefore important to maintain peace and resolve any tension and conflict before it escalates.

As a researcher, having a non-biased perspective is crucial in any investigation. Being a foreigner from outside Europe has allowed me to study and identify these conflicts without the inhabitation of biased or prejudice. The analysis presented is therefore objective and supported purely by research and evidence. It is understandable that when read by Europeans there could be a level of prejudice or possibly anger in regards to my findings. I urge readers to read this analysis with an open mind and employ reason and thought to their conclusions.

THE WIDER ISSUE OF CONFLICT

It’s important to point out that this analysis is attempting to analyze the concept of European Conflict from a purely Czech Perspective. This will result in the results focusing on the direct examples to the Czech Republic and Czech People. The conflict and issues identified in this analysis are echoed throughout the world, and should not be interpreted as an outlier or example of excessive conflict.

My background and travels have taught me that conflict exists everywhere in the world. The ability to recognise and treat conflict is something particularly difficult to do. Especially when there are cultural, social or ethnic identities involved.
The Sudetenland was first inhabited by ethnic Germans in the thirteenth century AD, who were invited by the Přemyslid Bohemian Kings. These lands were generally mountainous areas which were neglected by the Bohemians (now Czechs). The Germans who lived in these areas created large forestry, glass and agricultural industries. This was the beginning of a long and multi-generational tension between Czechs and Sudeten Germans.

In the centuries since the first occupation, many more Germans migrated to the Sudeten hills. Towns and villages sprung up all around the bordering mountains of Bohemia (now Czech Republic). Conflict between Sudetens and Czechs increased when Bohemia gradually became part of the Habsburg monarchy. Language and culture were competing with one another for hundreds of years, with the dominant suppressing the subordinate and a hierarchy or prejudice always existing between the two. Lack of trust from Bohemians, and lack of loyalty by the Sudetens during war time ignited bohemians to see an even greater divide between the two.

After World War I, the Austro-Hungarian Empire dissolved and an independent (but divided) Czechoslovakia appeared. Sudetens were upset about losing their Austrian ties they so dearly thought for. World War II, Hitler had occupied Czechoslovakia, and considered the Sudeten Germans as superior to the Czechs. He advocated for their security, prosperity and freedom, whilst simultaneously denying it to the Czechs. Sudeten Nazis were formed and followed strict Nazi controls.

The Czechs were brutally repressed and murdered during this oppressive occupation. Although not all Sudetens or Germans supported the war or the Nazis, to the Czechs, Sudetens became ideologically assimilated to Nazis.

When the War ended and Hitler lost, the Czechs took no time in expelling the Sudetens from the Czech Republic. Over 500,000 sudetens were forcibly expelled from their homes and business. Some had been living there for 600 years. There was very little separation in the Czechs eyes between Sudetens and Nazi Supporters. Systemic policies encouraged the torture and murder of Sudetens as retaliation for the hardships faced by Czechs under Nazi Occupation.

The retribution grew more violent as rage swept the nation. Vigilantes and military groups have been reported to have murdered, hung and set light to hundreds of Sudetens in Prague, whilst other accounts indicate genocide level events throughout Czechoslovakia.

For those who have escaped to Germany, there remains no closure or healing. For some, there are not even physical graves for their family that were slaughtered during the expulsion. Czechs today are beginning to understand their is a wound that exists due to the events during and after WWI but with out admitting there is conflict to resolve very little is being done in the way of reconciliation.
It was no secret that the Nazi’s oppressed, murdered and tortured the Czechs during the Nazi Occupation of Czechoslovakia. The brutality endured was so severe that many records of murders and genocide were erased from Nazi records at the end of the war.

The rage and violence that was unleashed when Czechoslovakia was liberated from the Nazis, was so great that very few sudetens escaped unscathed. Even those who were long term friends turned on each other and there are many accounts of friends conning and raiding sudetens homes of their valuables. In this point in time, Sudetens were seen as a vile and evil ethnic group who deserved nothing and received nothing.

Entire villages were round up and murdered, women and men all faced the same outcome. For the lucky ones, the best outcome was deportation to Germany. If you were a Nazi supporter, or appeared as a Nazi Supporter you were often murdered with out trial. Sometimes in the most public way. The territories held by sudetens were sometimes lost and forgotten after the war. The mountainous regions of sudetenland proving too difficult to farm or cultivate.

Therefore there are hundreds of villages and towns throughout Czechoslovakia (now czech Republic and Slovakia) which are completely abandoned or forgotten. Some demolished and others left standing vacant for 70 years.

The lasting effects of this displacement can be felt by several generations later. WWII ignited conflict all over Europe. For Czechs and Germans his is an extremely long lasting conflict that stetches back centuries. The sudeten cleansing and exile is a large piece that has left a wound in the hearts of both Czechs and Germans ever since. There are families and decendents who feel displaced, unwelcomed and isolated as a result of their forced removal. Sudetenland was a homeland to many generations of ethnic germans and by displacing so many people so instantly the Czechs have created a multi-generational conflict that will not reconcile itself.

RETRIBUTION

The initial rage that swept the liberated country resulted in tens of thousands of murders. Systematically coordinated, vigilantes were encouraged to kill Nazi supporters... Man or women, Old or young...

CATTLE CAR DEPORTATION

Sudetens are round up and placed in cattle cars for deportation to Germany.

SUDETEN TERRITORY

Map showing Sudeten Territory and once occupied village by Sudeten Germans.

RETRIBUTION

SUDETEN TERRITORY

AWAITING EXILE

Sudeten Germans and Nazi Supporters are Publicly Lynched in Prague. Reports indicate they were also set alight.

RETRIBUTION

PUBLIC LYNCH

Sudeten Germans round up and await deportation. Nazi supporters are marked with white Swastika.

MASS GRAVES

Mass murder and execution without trial were common for Sudeten’s who supported the Nazi party. There are still thousands of bodies and graves unaccounted for.

Retribution

The initial rage that swept the liberated country resulted in tens of thousands of murders. Systematically coordinated, vigilantes were encouraged to kill Nazi supporters... Man or women, Old or young...

Mass murder and execution without trial were common for Sudeten’s who supported the Nazi party. There are still thousands of bodies and graves unaccounted for.
The Romanian Gypsy have lived nomadic lives in Europe for centuries. The nomadic lifestyle and internally defined rules have meant many people throughout Europe have had conflict with Gypsies. The Romani people have been mistreated repeatedly in the Czech Republic. From being exterminated by the Nazis during WWII (reduction of population by 90%), to having forced sterilization under Czech the Czech Government.

Romani have repeatedly received prejudice and hatred in this country. Despite a large portion emigrating when the Czech Republic was formed (in fear of right wing groups) many still reside in the country. They are faced with unique challenges and prejudices that are rarely acknowledged.

The Romani incarceration statistics directly point to systemic failures with integration and assimilation (20 times over represented in prisons). A recent poll showed over 44% of Czechs are afraid of Romani, 90% do not want them as neighbors, 66% consider them Asocial and unbelievably, 45% would like to expel Romani from the Czech Republic altogether.

These figures reveal a deep and troubling conflict between Czechs and Romani. The conflicting lifestyles, ideologies and beliefs have resulted in an extremely hostile and damaging relationship.

From my researched perspective, it would appear the Czech people do not see Romani as equals or their peers and would instead see them as lesser humans who do not deserve the right to live in the Czech Republic. This rhetoric is of course extremely dangerous and reinforces the need for drastic change and immediate reconciliation between Czechs and Romani.

CZECHS PROTEST GYPSY

500 Czechs formed a protest in the main square of Duchcov, Czech Republic to protest Romani after a non-romani couple were attacked.

GYPSY SOCIAL HOUSING

Chanov social housing in the Czech Republic. These slum like conditions are a result of neglect and lack of maintenance and care.

NO GYPSY ALLOWED!

A historic sign from the 1920s and 30s that stipulates that Gypsies and Rovers are banned from entering/passing.
With such incredibly horrendous wars taking place in Syria and the middle east, humanitarian aid in the form of asylum and refuge has been offered to millions of displaced people. The aid is predominately offered by wealthier EU countries but there is also a systemic and top down approach to facilitating smaller countries to take refugees.

With countries like the Czech Republic, Hungary and Slovakia revolting from the idea of accepting foreign refugees simply because their wealthier neighbors in the EU told them to. This idea that Czech’s must support refugees is really a conflicted and confrontational matter in the Czech Republic. Some people are extremely against accepting foreigners because they fear outside culture and influence. There is a real belief that foreigners pose a serious threat to Czech way of life and culture. Others are simply dis-engaged with the democratic system of the EU that has “forced” its members to take refugees despite its being strictly against their desire. The Czech people have voted against immigration several times and it appears that conservative Right Wing politics are becoming more popular in the country.

There are many accounts of prejudice and racism against foreigners of darker complexion and although many refugees are fleeing unimaginable violence they are often faced with aggression and violence when arriving in central/eastern Europe.

It is therefore no surprise that the Czech republic has only accepted 12 refugees since the humanitarian crisis began several years ago, despite its neighbor Germany accepting now over a million.

Protests were held in Brno objecting to the idea of taking in refugees from abroad.

Risking their lives, people attempt to come to Europe via the Mediterranean in search of acceptance and a better life. Away from the war and killing of Syria.

Hundreds of thousands of refugees have crossed Europe by foot in hope that Western European countries will accept them.

The struggle to find peace.

Image Source: www.telegraph.co.uk, euractiv.com, theconversation.com
Examining the existing Architectural typography and precedent for reconciling and mediating conflict.

RESOLUTION IN ARCHITECTURE

With so many important events, summits, meetings and conferences taking place throughout the world, it is important to look at how Architecture is employed to aid and facilitate in the resolution process.

Architecture plays a huge role in our everyday life. What many people may not realize, is that many buildings are constructed for a set function or purpose, whilst others may be multi-functioned or even temporary.

Architecture in relation to resolution making comes in the form of conference halls, assembly chambers, parliament buildings, embassies, parliamentary/state retreats, churches, hospitals and many other examples.

The way these buildings are designed SHOULD encourage or aid in the buildings purpose. For example, a parliamentary hall should allow all members to see and communicate with each other. The architecture enforces and aids in the act of debate.

What a lot of architecture fails to do is to design beyond the physical constraints. So much of our psyche and being is influenced by the world around us. From the way we feel to the way we communicate can be influenced by our environment. The ability for architects to record, measure and quantify these psychological traits is much harder and consequently a lot of architecture fails to incorporate it into their design.
PARIS COP21
CLIMATE SUMMIT

Never before in my generations history has a more important and pivotal meeting been held. This coming together of Nations and the ratification of a climate agreement will have long lasting and irreversible results for my generation and those after. It is therefore neglectful that such an important event would be held in a temporary structure designed in such a way that the architecture does not aid in the communication or resolution process.

SECURITY

Ensuring security equipment, infrastructure and design is adequate for a temporary building is difficult. Compromise is often made in the areas of aesthetics or safety. Designing security controls into a permanent building should be done in a more sophisticated manner.

ENERGY

The Paris Climate Summit ironically consumed huge amounts of energy to set up and operate. Its estimated that the conferences produced 21,000 tonnes of CO2 gases. For a temporary event that amount is enormous. Equivalent to almost half an hour of the entire Countries CO2 emissions. Temporary structures are not an energy efficient means of hosting these events.

FUNCTION

High profile events and specialty conferences bring unique functional requirements to a building. The Paris climate challenge used temporary buildings and due to the modular production of buildings there was little design intent for the specific function.

CONCLUSION

The Paris Climate Change conference was a success, nations came together and cooperatively voted for the betterment of the world. The architecture that supported this event was not designed specifically for the social, cultural, communicative and interpersonal issues that were expressed at this conference. Ensuring these incredibly important events are housed in specifically designed and appropriate architecture is important as there are many added benefits. The physiological and metaphysical effects of Architecture on humans has been a topic of study since the 1960s. Employing architecture and design that aids in the reconciliation and mediation processes is vital to ensuring these events get the best possible chance of success. When talking fiscally, even increasing the chance of success marginally could have enormous benefits and returns.
Nature has a huge effect on the human psyche, it above all else can bring about drastic change in emotion, behavior and cognitive understanding. Camp David leverages this natural phenomenon and uses it to help its guests have clearer heads and facilitates greater decision making and clarity.

Simplicity is an important ally when trying to clear one’s head. Utilizing a minimalistic environment helps conserve and focus decision making on the tasks at hand. Back to basics is a concept of thought when one detaches themselves from modern society in the hope that the more simple life will help awaken and enlighten the mind. In Architecture simplicity has a direct causality on attention, stress and concentration as well as higher emotions and love. Camp David’s Architectural design echoes a simpler time and place. Removing added complexities from the equation that would otherwise complicate.

Intimacy is vital to the resolution process as it is a launching pad for trust and respect. With which one can make a mutually understanding and agreement upon. The scale, ceiling height, material, lighting, heating, transparency and small all influence the feeling of intimacy in a space.

The American (as in Europe) ideal of intimacy and peace is a cabin in the woods. Detaching oneself from society and living in the moment. Camp David is the embodiment of this ideal. It is a rustic, isolated group of cabins where the president and high ranking officials go to escape. Its no wonder it has also been the breeding ground for countless international discussions and agreements by domestic and foreign diplomats as well.

CONCLUSION

Camp David is a long running, successful Presidential retreat. The success of the retreat is due to the incorporation of nature, wilderness, design and character. The wooded forest around the retreat allows for intense reflection and meditation (direct and indirect) whilst walking around the many circular pathways. The simplicity of navigation and ease of walking also makes these walks leisurely and mindful.

The quaint familiar and cozy character of the retreat adds to the intimate atmosphere that is embodied in the architecture. The non-intimidating design and familiar furnishings help create a sense of homeliness and calm. The simplicity of the Architecture, layout, design and function also allows for detachment from society and total immersion in the tasks at hand. Having a place to lounge, relax or rest is also vital to the importance of the resolution process and camp David’s relaxed vibes cater to that ideology perfectly. The retreat although used for diplomatic discussion, meetings and events is at its very core a shared place to retreat and relax in.
The relationship between building and nature is something western Architects do not always appreciate. Unlike Oriental and Eastern Architecture western Architecture prefers to separate and delimitate the boundaries between indoor/outdoor. This quaint educational centre does the complete opposite, permeating and opening up to the courtyard and street in an inviting and continuous motion. The relationship between nature and architecture is therefore very symbiotic and intertwined as both systems rely on the other for support.

The Educational qualities of the centre combined with the relationship to Nature and outdoor space have increased this modest buildings active space considerably. The open progression from public, private to indoor allows for a larger utilisation of outdoor space. The permeability of the building creates a legibility and understanding for the community to engage with. The seductive invitation of plants, nature and gardens beyond the perimeter create a dynamic and beneficial space within. The symbiotic relationship between nature and architecture is tested in this central courtyard. The spaces, places and atmospheres created when nature and architecture come together are only improved by the addition or recreational seating and infrastructure. The Centre has a clearly legible typography and invitation to the community to enter. The actual act of resolution, peace and reconciliation is not explained in the architecture and with the separation of spaces into square rooms I doubt the architect explored the concept of Resolution in Architecture as thoroughly indoors as he did outdoors.

**NATURE**

The relationship between building and nature is something western Architects do not always appreciate. Unlike Oriental and Eastern Architecture western Architecture prefers to separate and delimitate the boundaries between indoor/outdoor. This quaint educational centre does the complete opposite, permeating and opening up to the courtyard and street in an inviting and continuous motion. The relationship between nature and architecture is therefore very symbiotic and intertwined as both systems rely on the other for support.

**PERMEABLE**

The Educational centre is leveraged upon the relationship with the community. It seeks to create inviting and legible connections to the street or community. The public nature of the building is heightened by the permeable facade and courtyard. The separation of public and private is not evident until you enter a classroom. The Seamless transition from the footpath to the internal courtyard allows for complete espousal of the space by the public.

**RECREATION**

The educational centre has a very open progression of public and private space. The incorporation of recreational seating improves the legibility of the progression to the public. The inclusion of these break out recreation spaces also adds to the ethos of reflection, peace and reconciliation. Facilitating spaces for the public to come and meditate, reflect and remember is very important to any resolution process.

**CONCLUSION**

The Educational qualities of the centre combined with the relationship to Nature and outdoor space have increased this modest buildings active space considerably. The open progression from public, private to indoor allows for a larger utilisation of outdoor space. The permeability of the building creates a legibility and understanding for the community to engage with. The seductive invitation of plants, nature and gardens beyond the perimeter create a dynamic and beneficial space within. The symbiotic relationship between nature and architecture is tested in this central courtyard. The spaces, places and atmospheres created when nature and architecture come together are only improved by the addition or recreational seating and infrastructure. The Centre has a clearly legible typography and invitation to the community to enter. The actual act of resolution, peace and reconciliation is not explained in the architecture and with the separation of spaces into square rooms I doubt the architect explored the concept of Resolution in Architecture as thoroughly indoors as he did outdoors.
In this chapter we will examine what Reconciliation means, and how it can be interpreted or translated into architecture.

Historically, reconciliation has been the act of forgiveness, truth, or apology. Today, the definition we will employ is more broad and stretches the way we use the word and what it can mean for us.

“To make Whole or to make Good”

Reconciliation in reference to this project means “to make whole, or to make good”. This definition stretches the meaning over many different fields or genres. In Architecture it can be employed and translated into something tangible, whilst in the reference of emotion or traumatic conflict it can be interpreted into something metaphysical or metaphorical.
In People reconciliation can take many different forms and for many different reasons. The idea that the act of reconciliation makes someone whole again doesn’t mean that they are without trauma or change. They do not always recover from their conflict and for those who do, there will always be remnants and scars left behind. To truly facilitate reconciliation people must come together willingly with the intention to listen and have an open heart.

Conflicting parties are not always so easily convinced to meet and talk, and that is why the role of Architecture is so important in the reconciliation process.

With Architecture’s ability to change the way we feel and perceive its only right that we use Architecture to encourage peaceful and cooperative resolutions.

In Architecture and design reconciliation has a more literal and symbolic interpretation. The act of making something whole again can be translated into an architectural language for use in design. The idea that when something is conflicting, damaged or hurt it would then be made good or whole means there is opportunity for change and evolution.

As with human reconciliation, there is always a degree of evolution involved with change. When something is made whole, the broken pieces may be put back together differently, or require some bonding agent between. The greatest example of this is Kintsugi Pottery from Japan.

This style of pottery takes broken pieces of porcelain, and instead of repairing them to look new, the artist painstakingly molds gold into the cracks to piece together the pottery again. The end result is stronger and more beautiful than originally. The scars or cracks are displayed as ornamentation and represent the beauty in healing.

In Architecture, piecing together a building to make a whole might also employ the technique of Kintsugi to forge a stronger and more beautiful whole than previously.
In Nature there is always a fabric or cohesion that keeps everything relative and grounded. Without this fabric the individual organisms and micro-ecosystems would become isolated and die out. The symbiosis that is nature helps hold everything together.

In the concept of Architectural Reconciliation, having some cohesion or fabric to help re-glue the pieces together would be relatable to the way Kintsugi potters use gold to hold together cracked pottery. Nature itself is a brilliant and resilient cohesive force. It is flexible, forgiving and provides comfort to humans.

The way nature helps heal the human soul, is relatable to how one might use nature to reconcile an architectural program. Creating a cohesive framework or net for which the architectural pieces would be connected and grounded to.

Metaphorically taking something fractured or broken and repairing and reconciling it using nature so that it is once again “whole and good” is directly relatable to the human relationship with nature.

Mother Nature will always find a way to come out on top. Human intervention is always required to prevent the organic systems from taking over. Why then do we not embrace this vegetative reclamation. The effects of nature on humans are mostly positive, if we design to accommodate the vegetative espousal of architecture than we can reduce on going maintenance and we will know that the building and surrounding landscape are forming a some what sustained symbiosis.

The built environment has a history of scarred the landscape. Architecture sometimes competes with nature for beauty and attention. Knowing that nature can help ground and calm the human psyche whilst Architecture can have similar effects on the conscious and mind. Wouldn’t it be best to design them both to work together.

Framing the landscape so that the Architecture becomes part of the nature, whilst the occupant is still enjoying the benefits of the built environment internally mean there is a significantly greater benefit to the design.

In a way, its the best of both worlds, and at the same time reducing maintenance and landscaping costs.

There is of course some design considerations to take into account with the reclamation process. Some plants and growth patterns are extremely powerful and destructive and must be accommodated for in the design.
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The Cikánka quarry is a brown field site that had been mined and severely excavated for the removal of limestone for use in a nearby cement refinery.

Located 12km South West of Prague behind the small satellite town of Radotín sits the Cikánka quarry. A once rocky hillside the Quarry was constructed at the start of the 20th century. With almost 100 years of excavation the Quarry was split into two with the smaller excavation becoming depleted of limestone and left abandoned. The larger excavation continues to operate and provides lime to the nearby Radotin concrete refinery.

The site has an access road to the east and several smaller gravel trails surrounding the quarry.

The quarry is for the most part a symbol of conflict. There has been a huge wound in the landscape for 100 years and with no resolution the site remains conflicted. Due to the removal of top soil and excavation to bedrock, there is very little flora that has began to grow in the abandoned quarry.

With some sincere design the quarry could be regenerated to a wooded hillside once again. The site is extremely large with the largest quarry measuring 1000m in length and the smaller quarry measuring 500m in length. There are a mix of pines and deciduous trees around the site and agricultural land and grazing meadows to the west and east.

THE SITE OF RECONCILIATION

An in-depth Analysis of the proposed site and its surrounds.
SCHWARZ PLAN OF PRAGUE

Black and white plan of Prague outlining the mass and buildings. The site is marked with a cross.

1826-43 STABLE CADASTRE MAP
SCALE 1:2000

HYBRID MAP
SCALE 1:2000
RADOTIN STREAM
View of the Radotin Stream as it flows through the valley adjacent the site.

GRAZING MEADOWS
View atop the hill looking over the Grazing Meadows to the North.

AGRICULTURAL LAND
View to the farm land neighboring the site to the north.

FOREST
View over the neighboring forest to the West.
WILD FLOWERS

Wild Flowers growing on the verge of the site where the quarry meets the forest.

DUCK POND

Duck pond next to site.

QUARRY WALLS

Stratigraphic layers of rock form the quarry walls.

CEMENT FACTORY

View to the Cement Refinery.
VIEW FROM THE ROAD
View looking up from the base level at the gate to the site.

VIEW OVER QUARRY
View looking out from the top of the Quarry.

STABILIZING PINES
View towards the East where Pines had been planted to stabilise the hill side.
Creating a complex for diplomatic, public, private and educational groups to come and discuss, debate, retreat and reconcile conflict.

Creating a building complex that can house 1200 people who wish to come to discuss conflict and issues. Somewhere permanent and specifically designed to house international and national conferences and summits. A place where the design aids in the reconciliation and resolution process instead of impairing it.

The building complex will house many different functions and be spread over the quarry in separate buildings. The overall whole (or program) is divided and fractured into smaller pieces that will then be reconfigured and connected via nature strips. The buildings will be designed in a way that they grow with nature and nature with them.

Walking paths and outdoor activities will be a priority. There will be several walking paths and recreational spots on the site and the entire quarry will gradually be reclaimed by nature with the help of additional top soils and aided seeding.

The Assembly building will be placed on the top peninsula and look out over the entire valley. Over time though the Assembly building will disappear into the landscape. The structure of the building will carry the vegetation over it.

The assembly building will be designed using organic growth patterns and biomimicry philosophies.

---

**RECONCILE QUARRY**

**DESIGN PROPOSAL**
EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM

PARKING / ROAD

WASTE REMOVAL
LOADING DOCK

MECHANICAL, SERVICES ETC

SERVICES ENTRANCE

KITCHENS, FOOD, DRINK PREP ETC

STORE

MECHANICAL, SERVICES ETC

OPERATIONS, OFFICES ETC

STAFF ACCESS

LIFT/STAIR

DROP OFF/ PICK UP

PARKING ACCESS

BUS STOP

SITTING AREA

INFO DESK

ENTRANCE/LOBBY

CLOAK ROOM, TOILETS, LOCKERS

LOADING DOCK

WASTE REMOVAL

MECHANICAL, SERVICES ETC

KITCHENS, FOOD, DRINK PREP ETC

CONFERENCE CENTRE

AUDITORIUM, BREAK-OUT SPACE

CONSTRUCTION SITE

MIXED USE SPACE

FOREST, REFLECTION GARDENS, PATWAYS, PONDS
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Program</th>
<th>Diplomatic Precinct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complex</strong></td>
<td><strong>Name</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Hub</td>
<td>Waste Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loading Dock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VIP Drop Off/ Pick Up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Valet Booth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Media Pit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Car Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus Stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short Term Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entrance/Lobby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Security Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Registration and Check-in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cloak room, lockers, lockers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staff Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operations, Offices etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services Entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mechanical Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kitchens, Food, Drink prep etc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Program</th>
<th>Diplomatic Precinct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grand Lounge</td>
<td>A place for guests and members to break out and casually relax in a lounge setting with beverages and light snack. Also works as a transitional space to the other areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar</td>
<td>Prepare beverages for guests in the lounge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Café</td>
<td>Prepare food and hot beverages for guests in the lounge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Lounge</td>
<td>To allow guests to work in a business setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assembly Wing</td>
<td>Assembly Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Media Support Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toilet/ Bathroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meditation Spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function / Conference Space</td>
<td>Function Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinning Lounge</td>
<td>Dinning Lounge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beer Garden, Fire pit, Alfresco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodation</td>
<td>Presidential Cabins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business Cabins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Guest Accommodation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LEGEND

1. Elevator
2. Entrance Ramp
3. Stair
4. Assembly Hall
LEGEND

1. Elevator
2. Control Room
3. Media/Spectator Deck
4. Stair
LEGEND
1. Elevator
2. Business Lounge
3. Stair
VIEW INTO THE ENTRANCE FOYER

View looking into the lounge and foyer of the Assembly building.
ASSEMBLY HALL

View looking out over the Assembly Hall from the entrance to the room.
ASSEMBLY HALL LIGHTS
view over the suspended light systems and sky light room.

EXTERNAL
view looking at the weathered and foliage covered facade.

ASSEMBLY HALL RAMP
View Looking up to the Assembly hall.

PERSONAL LOUNGES
View looking at the lounge and sofa nooks.