

Supervisor's form for thesis evaluation

1. Identification of the student

Student:	ANUP SHRESTHA
Thesis:	COMPARISON BETWEEN COMMON SEISMIC CODES USED IN NEAR AND EUROCODE8: STUDY CASE ANALYSIS OF RC BUILDING
Institution:	UNIVERSITY OF NAPLES "FEDERICO II"
Academic year:	201 6 2018

2. Identification of the reviewer

Name:	FEDERICO MARZOLANI
Institution:	UNIVERSITY OF NAPLES FEDERICO II
Position:	HERITUS PROFESSOR

3. Meeting the targets specified work

excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	above aver. <input type="checkbox"/>	average <input type="checkbox"/>	below aver. <input type="checkbox"/>	weak <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: THE CANDIDATE DID AN EXCELLENT WORK				

4. Expertise approach to work

excellent <input type="checkbox"/>	above aver. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	average <input type="checkbox"/>	below aver. <input type="checkbox"/>	weak <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments: HE HAD A VERY GOOD EXPERTISE				

5. The autonomy of the student

excellent above aver. average below aver. weak

Comments: HE WORKED WITH VERY GOOD AUTONOMY

6. Further comments

ON THE BASIS OF THE QUALITY OF THE RESULTS AND THE HUGE WORK DONE, I RECOMMEND THE MAXIMUM GRADE

7. Grade: A

Use the following scale

A (excellent)	B (very good)	C (good)	D (satisfactory)	E (sufficient)	F (fail)
---------------	---------------	----------	------------------	----------------	----------

Place

The Reviewer


Signature