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### Assignment

Assignment was surely challenging, because it was necessary to manage rather wide theoretical background of speech recognition including interdisciplinary topics from the field of phonetics for particular languages. The implementation of recognizer using KALDI toolkit is also not so straightforward, because it requires advanced programming skills and good knowledge of used algorithms. Without such skills the correct realization of any recognizer is not possible.

### Satisfaction of assignment

Assignment was fulfilled in all points, the author created a basic version of multilingual recognizer for four languages, which is based on GMM-HMM architecture. She unified phonetic representation of included languages and created the system prepared for easy inclusion of other languages with available data. Within the realized experimental part she obtained the results related to the current state-of-the-art, which confirmed correctness of the presented solution.
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### Technical level

A - excellent.

Student managed the most important theoretical knowledge of solved problem as well as the technical part including the preparation of necessary language-dependent inputs to recognition system. I would like to emphasize that she did not have any previous experience with any of the above mentioned skills related to very different fields, such as acoustic analysis of speech, stochastic modelling, phonetics and linguistics for selected languages, KALDI toolkit, or general programming in Linux including the processing at Linux parallel computation cluster. Concerning this fact, the presented work satisfies the level of diploma thesis without any doubt.
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Additional commentary and evaluation

Present your opinion to achieved primary goals of thesis, e.g. level of theoretical results, level and functionality of technical or software conception, publication performance, experimental dexterity etc.

III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR DEFENSE, CLASSIFICATION SUGGESTION

Summarize thesis aspects that swayed your final evaluation.

I classify the handed diploma thesis as very good and on the basis of above mentioned notes I evaluate it with classification grade A - excellent.
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