



Master thesis assessment

Student: Singh Udham
Thesis Title: Structural Project of Dweling House
Thesis Supervisor: Doc. Ing. František Kulhánek, CSc
Reviewer: Ing. Marek Novotný Ph.D.
Date of Thesis Submission: 7.2.2018

I. Evaluation Criteria

Evaluation Criteria	A	B	C	D	E	F	Not Rated
Objectives and thesis assignment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Level of expertise	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suitability of used methods	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Formal and graphic level	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Thesis clarity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student's ability to apply engineering approach	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Note: The fields in the table are checked by double-clicking the mouse on the box (select "Default = checked"), or place an X it in the appropriate cell of the table.

II. Comments

Basis for evaluation of individual criteria (required, ¼ - ½ page):

The project of a Dweling House, supporting structures, etc., is made only in basic parameters, without the usual quality of calculations and technical solution of building structures. Other parts of building structures are only mentioned without detailed technical information, no graphic design solutions etc.

In the project, the drawing parts are serious errors, the type - the object is not based on non-frosty depth. The construction details are not understandable about the correctness I am not even mentioning.

Practically all parts of this Master Thesis show a minimum level.

III. Debate topics

For the purposes of debate, I recommend the following (required):

Finding the right topics for the debate is really difficult.

What are the principles of drawing drawings in the Czech Republic, where and what types of lines are used.

What types of foundations systems are used in the Czech Republic. To what depth is it necessary to build the building structures.

VI. Overall Assessment

As a reviewer I evaluate the submitted thesis with the grade:

E

.....

Grading scale used:

<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>	<i>D</i>	<i>E</i>	<i>F</i>
<i>excellent</i>	<i>very good</i>	<i>good</i>	<i>satisfactory</i>	<i>sufficient</i>	<i>failed</i>

V. Result

Based on the above as a Reviewer:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I recommend the master thesis for defense
<input type="checkbox"/>	I don't recommend the master thesis for defense

In date

Reviewer