# Supervisor's statement of a final thesis

### **Czech Technical University in Prague**

**Faculty of Information Technology** 

Student: Bc. Tomáš Kvasnička

**Supervisor:** Ing. Tomáš Zahradnický, Ph.D. Thesis title: **Application Security Analysis** 

Branch of the study: **Computer Security** 

Date: 30. 1. 2018

Evaluation criterion: The evaluation scale: 1 to 5. 1. Difficulty and other comments 1 = extremely challenging assignment, 2 = rather difficult assignment, on the assignment 3 = assignment of average difficulty, 4 = easier, but still sufficient assignment, 5 = insufficient assignment Criteria description: Characterize this final thesis in detail and its relationships to previous or current projects. Comment what is difficult about this thesis (in case of a more difficult thesis, you may overlook some shortcomings that you would not in case of an easy assignment, and on the contrary, with an easy assignment those shortcomings should be evaluated more I find the thesis assignment to be of an average difficulty. The evaluation scale: 1 to 4. Evaluation criterion: 2. Fulfilment of the assignment 1 = assignment fulfilled, 2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections, 3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections, 4 = assignment not fulfilled Assess whether the thesis meets the assignment statement. In Comments indicate parts of the assignment that have not been fulfilled, completely or partially, or extensions of the thesis beyond the original assignment. If the assignment was not completely fulfilled, try to assess the importance, impact, and possibly also the reason of the insufficiencies. The assignment of the thesis was fulfilled. The evaluation scale: 1 to 4. 3. Size of the main written part 1 = meets the criteria, 2 = meets the criteria with minor objections, 3 = meets the criteria with major objections, 4 = does not meet the criteria Evaluate the adequacy of the extent of the final thesis, considering its content and the size of the written part, i.e. that all parts of the thesis are rich on information and the text does not contain unnécessary parts Size of the written part exceeds requirements for diploma theses. The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F). Factual and logical level of the 80 (B) 4. thesis

Assess whether the thesis is correct as to the facts or if there are factual errors and inaccuracies. Evaluate further the logical structure of the thesis, links among the chapters, and

the comprehensibility of the text for a reader

Factual level of the thesis is excellent.

Logical level of the thesis could be better. The fact that the student didn't find anything serious only means the software quality is good. A lack of findings perhaps lead him to express what he has done at least in volume and to write more and more. This more is less in the result and structure of the thesis suffered. There are sections such as 2.3 that are not very relevant to the thesis. Level of detail is inconsistent.

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F). Evaluation criterion Formal level of the thesis 75 (C) Criteria description: Assess the correctness of formalisms used in the thesis, the typographical and linguistic aspect s, see Dean's Directive No. 26/2017, Article 3.

Though formalism and typography in the thesis are good and I find only minor issues, English language used in the thesis could have been much better. I find lots of missing/improper articles, wrong grammar or word order in sentences

Evaluation criterion: The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F) 6. Bibliography 100 (A)

Evaluate the student's activity in acquisition and use of studying materials in his thesis. Characterize the choice of the sources. Discuss whether the student used all relevant sources, or whether he tried to solve problems that were already solved. Verify that all elements taken from other sources are properly differentiated from his own results and contributions. Comment if there was a possible violation of the citation ethics and if the bibliographical references are complete and in compliance with citation standards.

#### Comments:

I find bibliography both rich and numerous.

**Evaluation criterion:** 

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

7. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards

Comment on the achieved level of major results of the thesis and indicate whether the main results of the thesis extend published state-of-the-art results and/or bring completely new findings. Assess the quality and functionality of hardware or software solutions. Alternatively, evaluate whether the software or source code that was not created by the student himself was used in accordance with the license terms and copyright. Comment on possible publication output or awards related to the thesis.

#### Comments:

Though the student didn't find any serious issue, he still found several minor issues. He's contacted the vendor of the application and resolved them with him.

No evaluation scale.

90 (A)

## Applicability of the results

Criteria description.

Indicate the potential of using the results of the thesis in practice.

The results of the thesis and their reporting to the vendor has impact on all users of the application.

**Evaluation criterion:** 

9. Activity and self-reliance of the student

The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.

1 = excellent activity,

2 = very good activity3 = average activity,

4 = weaker, but still sufficient activity,

5 = insufficient activity

9b:

1 = excellent self-reliance,

2 = very good self-reliance,

3 = average self-reliance, 4 = weaker, but still sufficient self-reliance.

5 = insufficient self-reliance.

Review student's activity while working on this final thesis, student's punctuality when meeting the deadlines and consulting continuously and also, student's preparedness for these consultations. Furthermore, review student's independency

I find both activity an self-reliance of the student excellent.

Evaluation criterion: 10. The overall evaluation The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

85 (B)

Criteria description:
Summarize the parts of the thesis that had major impact on your evaluation. The overall evaluation does not have to be the arithmetic mean or any other formula with the values from the previous evaluation criteria 1 to 9

Comments:

I do hereby recommend the thesis for defence and grade it with B (very good)

Signature of the supervisor: