CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE



Master thesis:

Faculty of electrical engineering

Department of electrical power engineering

Technická 2, 166 27 Prague 6, Czech Republic

Bachelor thesis opponent's review

Distribution systems with renewable sources

Author:	Dauren Karimov	
Thesis supervisor:	Ing. František Vybíralík, CSc.	
Thesis opponent:	doc. Ing. Zdeněk Müller, Ph.D.	
		Rating $(1-5)$ (1 = best; 5 = worst):
1. Fulfillment of ass	signment requirements:	1
2. Systematic solution	1	
3. Ability to apply k	1	
4. Thesis formal and	l language level:	2
5. Thesis readability	and structuring:	2
6. Thesis profession	al level:	2
7. Conclusions and	2	
8. Final mark evalu	uation (A, B, C, D, E, F):	В
	verbal:	Very good
Brief summary eval	luation of the thesis (compulsory):	

The bachelor thesis is focused on distributed generation - renewable energy sources and its connection into the grid. The thesis consists of theoretical and practical part. The theoretical part consists of distributed resources description and key grid connection parameters. Provided figures are too large in comparison with their simplicity. I suggest to use the same font size for equations as the rest of the text (simple equations are displayed in huge fonts). This makes the bachelor project "easy looking". Each chapter has different paragraph formatting.

Practical part is concentrated on calculations related to grid connection. At this point student describes mathematical conditions and its application on case study. The case study is well documented and contain all necessary information and conclusion. From the formal point of view the thesis should contain one final conclusion, not only partial conclusions after both thesis parts. I recommend author to include all figures citations (and sources) according to standards.

Ouestions:

1. Describe the model of the grid in case study (fig. 7.1). How are these elements me	ioaei	le(1
---	-------	-----	---

Date: 6.6.2017 Signature:



- Notes:

 1) The total thesis evaluation needn't be determined by the partial evaluations average.
 2) The total evaluation (item 8) should be from the following scale:

excellent	very good	good	satisfactory	sufficient	insufficient
A	В	С	D	Е	F