# Supervisor's statement of a final thesis

## **Czech Technical University in Prague**

**Faculty of Information Technology** 

Student: Jan Růžička

**Supervisor:** Mgr. Pavel Korbelář

**Thesis title:** Webová aplikace Strahovské fotbalové ligy

Branch of the study: Web and Multimedia

Date: 26, 1, 2017 Evaluation criterion: The evaluation scale: 1 to 5. 1. Difficulty and other comments 1 = extremely challenging assignment, 2 = rather difficult assignment, on the assignment 3 = assignment of average difficulty, 4 = easier, but still sufficient assignment, 5 = insufficient assignment Criteria description: Characterize this final thesis in detail and its relationships to previous or current projects. Comment what is difficult about this thesis (in case of a more difficult thesis, you may overlook some shortcomings that you would not in case of an easy assignment, and on the contrary, with an easy assignment those shortcomings should be evaluated more Obtížnost ZP je na rozhraní průměrně náročného zadání a náročnějšího zadání. The evaluation scale: 1 to 4. 1 = assignment fulfilled, 2. Fulfilment of the assignment 2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections, 3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections, 4 = assignment not fulfilled Assess whether the thesis meets the assignment statement. In Comments indicate parts of the assignment that have not been fulfilled, completely or partially, or extensions of the thesis beyond the original assignment. If the assignment was not completely fulfilled, try to assess the importance, impact, and possibly also the reason of the insufficiencies. Zadání bylo splněno ve všech bodech. The evaluation scale: 1 to 4. 3. Size of the main written part 1 = meets the criteria, 2 = meets the criteria with minor objections, 3 = meets the criteria with major objections, 4 = does not meet the criteria Evaluate the adequacy of the extent of the final thesis, considering its content and the size of the written part, i.e. that all parts of the thesis are rich on information and the text does not contain unnecessary parts Rozsah jednotlivých částí je citlivě zpracován a informace jsou věcné a srozumitelné. The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F). Factual and logical level of the 100 (A) thesis Assess whether the thesis is correct as to the facts or if there are factual errors and inaccuracies. Evaluate further the logical structure of the thesis, links among the chapters, and Práce je postavena na logické struktuře a neobjevil jsem v práci podstatné věcné chyby. The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F). Evaluation criterion: Formal level of the thesis Assess the correctness of formalisms used in the thesis, the typographical and linguistic aspect s, see Dean's Directive No. 12/2014, Article 3.

Comments:

V práci jsem objevil pár drobných pravopisných chyb, které však neměly na podstatu ZP vliv.

Evaluation criterion: The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

6. Bibliography 95 (A)

Evaluate the student's activity in acquisition and use of studying materials in his thesis. Characterize the choice of the sources. Discuss whether the student used all relevant sources, or whether he tried to solve problems that were already solved. Verify that all elements taken from other sources are properly differentiated from his own results and contributions. Comment if there was a possible violation of the citation ethics and if the bibliographical references are complete and in compliance with citation standards.

Jsem přesvědčen, že tuto část student zvládl bezchybně.

Evaluation criterion: The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

# 7. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards

94 (A)

Comment on the achieved level of major results of the thesis and indicate whether the main results of the thesis extend published state-of-the-art results and/or bring completely new findings. Assess the quality and functionality of hardware or software solutions. Alternatively, evaluate whether the software or source code that was not created by the student himself was used in accordance with the license terms and copyright. Comment on possible publication output or awards related to the thesis.

Hlavním přínosem této ZP je, že se podařilo vytvořit funkční webovou aplikaci, se kterou může bez dalších odborných znalostí pracovat běžný uživatel. V našem případě se jedná o poměrně širokou uživatelskou skupinu - rozhodčí, kapitáni týmu a samozřejmě organizátoři soutěže. Během prvního testování aplikace ve zkušebním ostrém provozu v rámci konání SFL od října do prosince 2016 se zijstilo, že bude nutné implementovat do aplikace další funkce (např. varjantní řešení semifinálových utkání, přímé vkládání výsledků jednotlivých utkání do aplikace prostřednictvím tabletu). Dalším významným přínosem této ZP je, že zůstala zachována historie statistik celé soutěže pro jednotlivé týmy a hráče.

Evaluation criterion.

No evaluation scale

# 8. Applicability of the results

Indicate the potential of using the results of the thesis in practice.

Zadání této ZP bylo vytvoření webové aplikace pro fungující sportovní soutěž. Tato aplikace je implementována v ostrém procesu SFL. První zkouška "ohněm" proběhla nad očekávání. Nadále se studentem pracujeme na zdokonalování této

## Evaluation criterion:

9. Activity and self-reliance of the student

The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.

1 = excellent activity,

2 = very good activity,

3 = average activity,

4 = weaker, but still sufficient activity,

5 = insufficient activity

9b:

# 1 = excellent self-reliance,

2 = very good self-reliance,

3 = average self-reliance,

4 = weaker, but still sufficient self-reliance,

5 = insufficient self-reliance.

Criteria description:
Review student's activity while working on this final thesis, student's punctuality when meeting the deadlines and consulting continuously and also, student's preparedness for these consultations. Furthermore, review student's independency

Aktivita a samostatnost studenta byly dominantou po celou dobu vytváření této práce.

Evaluation criterion.

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

95 (A)

10. The overall evaluation Criteria description:

Summarize the parts of the thesis that had major impact on your evaluation. The overall evaluation does not have to be the arithmetic mean or any other formula with the values from the previous evaluation criteria 1 to 9.

Práci doporučuji k obhajobě a hodnotím známkou A=výborně.

Student zvládl zpracování této práce velmi dobře a výsledkem je funkční aplikace tohoto projektu využívaná SFL.

Signature of the supervisor: