**Evaluation criterion:**
The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.

1. **Difficulty and other comments on the assignment**

   1 = extremely challenging assignment,  
   2 = rather difficult assignment,  
   3 = assignment of average difficulty,  
   4 = easier, but still sufficient assignment,  
   5 = insufficient assignment

**Criteria description:**
Characterize this final thesis in detail and its relationships to previous or current projects. Comment what is difficult about this thesis (in case of a more difficult thesis, you may overlook some shortcomings that you would not in case of an easy assignment, and on the contrary, with an easy assignment those shortcomings should be evaluated more strictly.)

**Comments:**
This was quite a challenging task for a bachelor thesis. Compared to other bachelor thesis I have read it was quite big. Especially for the overlap to the usage on real projects.

---

**Evaluation criterion:**
The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.

2. **Fulfilment of the assignment**

   1 = assignment fulfilled,  
   2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections,  
   3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections,  
   4 = assignment not fulfilled

**Criteria description:**
Assess whether the thesis meets the assignment statement. In Comments indicate parts of the assignment that have not been fulfilled, completely or partially, or extensions of the thesis beyond the original assignment. If the assignment was not completely fulfilled, try to assess the importance, impact, and possibly also the reason of the insufficiencies.

**Comments:**
I find the final application very useful and Pavel fulfill the assignment I asked him to fulfill. He even came with some great improvements for the application as he got deeper into the topic. That is great from the point of the product owner.
From the perspective of the bachelor thesis, I appreciate the time he spent on comparing other application available. I

---

**Evaluation criterion:**
The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.

3. **Size of the main written part**

   1 = meets the criteria,  
   2 = meets the criteria with minor objections,  
   3 = meets the criteria with major objections,  
   4 = does not meet the criteria

**Criteria description:**
Evaluate the adequacy of the extent of the final thesis, considering its content and the size of the written part, i.e. that all parts of the thesis are rich on information and the text does not contain unnecessary parts.

**Comments:**
The thesis is adequate in its size and focus. It is going around the topic and focuses on the application which is great.

---

**Evaluation criterion:**
The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

4. **Factual and logical level of the thesis**

   100 (A)

**Criteria description:**
Assess whether the thesis is correct as to the facts or if there are factual errors and inaccuracies. Evaluate further the logical structure of the thesis, links among the chapters, and the comprehensibility of the text for a reader.

**Comments:**
The thesis is correct in facts and great for somebody who is not experienced in agile methodologies. If somebody has a lot of experience with agile, it might seem that the thesis is missing the experience from more senior project managers/scrum masters. But that would extend the thesis into larger assignment, which would be too big for the bachelor thesis.

---

**Evaluation criterion:**
The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

5. **Formal level of the thesis**

   100 (A)

**Criteria description:**
Assess the correctness of formalisms used in the thesis, the typographical and linguistic aspect s, see Dean’s Directive No. 14/2015, Article 3.

**Comments:**
No problems here

---

**Evaluation criterion:**
The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

6. **Bibliography**

   100 (A)
### Criteria description:
Evaluate the student’s activity in acquisition and use of studying materials in his thesis. Characterize the choice of the sources. Discuss whether the student used all relevant sources, or whether he tried to solve problems that were already solved. Verify that all elements taken from other sources are properly differentiated from his own results and contributions. Comment if there was a possible violation of the citation ethics and if the bibliographical references are complete and in compliance with citation standards.

### Comments:
Appropriate for the bachelor thesis

#### Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>7. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards</strong></td>
<td>100 (A)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criteria description:
Comment on the achieved level of major results of the thesis and indicate whether the main results of the thesis extend published state-of-the-art results and/or bring completely new findings. Assess the quality and functionality of hardware or software solutions. Alternatively, evaluate whether the software or source code that was not created by the student himself was used in accordance with the license terms and copyright. Comment on possible publication output or awards related to the thesis.

Comments:
The application is and will be used on a real projects within our company. We are working with many distributed teams so it is a great help for us. After few weeks of testing it and getting the feedback, we will probably go for some extension and add some functionality and that is a success for me.

#### Applicability of the results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>No evaluation scale.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>8. Applicability of the results</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criteria description:
Comment on the achieved level of major results of the thesis and indicate whether the main results of the thesis extend published state-of-the-art results and/or bring completely new findings. Assess the quality and functionality of hardware or software solutions. Alternatively, evaluate whether the software or source code that was not created by the student himself was used in accordance with the license terms and copyright. Comment on possible publication output or awards related to the thesis.

Comments:
As I mentioned, the application is immediately used on a real project within the company. The possibility to use the thesis results (the app) was one of the requirements from the beginning and it was fulfilled.

#### Activity and self-reliance of the student

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>9. Activity and self-reliance of the student</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criteria description:
Review student’s activity while working on this final thesis, student’s punctuality when meeting the deadlines and consulting continuously and also, student’s preparedness for these consultations. Furthermore, review student’s independency.

Comments:
Pavel escalated issues when there was a need. He was not able to push me when he needed something and he was able to provide very good work even with my limited time for the support.

#### The overall evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>10. The overall evaluation</strong></td>
<td>100 (A)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criteria description:
Summarize the parts of the thesis that had major impact on your evaluation. The overall evaluation does not have to be the arithmetic mean or any other formula with the values from the previous evaluation criteria 1 to 9.

Comments:
The thesis is very good and it has high value because of its practical usage on real projects.

Signature of the supervisor: