

Review report of a final thesis

Czech Technical University in Prague

Faculty of Information Technology

Student: Bc. Jakub Žitný
Reviewer: Ing. Antonín Procházka
Thesis title: Analysing JavaScript and NPM at scale
Branch of the study: Knowledge Engineering

Date: 31. 1. 2017

<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.</i>
1. Difficulty and other comments on the assignment	1 = extremely challenging assignment, 2 = rather difficult assignment, 3 = assignment of average difficulty, 4 = easier, but still sufficient assignment, 5 = insufficient assignment
<i>Criteria description:</i> Characterize this final thesis in detail and its relationships to previous or current projects. Comment what is difficult about this thesis (in case of a more difficult thesis, you may overlook some shortcomings that you would not in case of an easy assignment, and on the contrary, with an easy assignment those shortcomings should be evaluated more strictly.)	
<i>Comments:</i> The assignment requires understanding of static code analysis and large data set processing.	
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</i>
2. Fulfilment of the assignment	1 = assignment fulfilled, 2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections, 3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections, 4 = assignment not fulfilled
<i>Criteria description:</i> Assess whether the thesis meets the assignment statement. In Comments indicate parts of the assignment that have not been fulfilled, completely or partially, or extensions of the thesis beyond the original assignment. If the assignment was not completely fulfilled, try to assess the importance, impact, and possibly also the reason of the insufficiencies.	
<i>Comments:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Create a large dataset of JS codebases: Well done.• Create a program/platform for generating structured JS code datasets: Well done.• Perform data analysis on static code information: The data analysis on static code information seems insufficient. However, the assignment is not specific enough.• Perform similarity metrics across different repositories: Well done.• Perform similarity metrics with snippets of code from StackOverflow: The results of similarity metrics with snippets of code from StackOverflow are missing in the thesis.	
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</i>
3. Size of the main written part	1 = meets the criteria, 2 = meets the criteria with minor objections, 3 = meets the criteria with major objections, 4 = does not meet the criteria
<i>Criteria description:</i> Evaluate the adequacy of the extent of the final thesis, considering its content and the size of the written part, i.e. that all parts of the thesis are rich on information and the text does not contain unnecessary parts.	
<i>Comments:</i> The thesis explains deeply the data sources, but the analysis outcome is very poor.	
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>
4. Factual and logical level of the thesis	100 (A)
<i>Criteria description:</i> Assess whether the thesis is correct as to the facts or if there are factual errors and inaccuracies. Evaluate further the logical structure of the thesis, links among the chapters, and the comprehensibility of the text for a reader.	
<i>Comments:</i> The thesis is well structured and easy to read and follow.	
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>
5. Formal level of the thesis	89 (B)
<i>Criteria description:</i> Assess the correctness of formalisms used in the thesis, the typographical and linguistic aspects, see Dean's Directive No. 14/2015, Article 3.	
<i>Comments:</i> Some reference links are missing. (Rendered as [?].) Otherwise, the thesis looks formally correct.	
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>	<i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>

6. Bibliography

100 (A)

Criteria description:

Evaluate the student's activity in acquisition and use of studying materials in his thesis. Characterize the choice of the sources. Discuss whether the student used all relevant sources, or whether he tried to solve problems that were already solved. Verify that all elements taken from other sources are properly differentiated from his own results and contributions. Comment if there was a possible violation of the citation ethics and if the bibliographical references are complete and in compliance with citation standards.

Comments:

The student has done a broad research on the topic and he's selected useful sources.

Evaluation criterion:

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

7. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards

75 (C)

Criteria description:

Comment on the achieved level of major results of the thesis and indicate whether the main results of the thesis extend published state-of-the-art results and/or bring completely new findings. Assess the quality and functionality of hardware or software solutions. Alternatively, evaluate whether the software or source code that was not created by the student himself was used in accordance with the license terms and copyright. Comment on possible publication output or awards related to the thesis.

Comments:

The programmatic outcome as well as the theoretical results can be reused in further research.

Evaluation criterion:

No evaluation scale.

8. Applicability of the results

Criteria description:

Indicate the potential of using the results of the thesis in practice.

Comments:

Other algorithms and application for the same purpose exist already. The results of the analysis should be interesting to the JavaScript community.

Evaluation criterion:

No evaluation scale.

9. Questions for the defence

Criteria description:

Formulate any question(s) that the student should answer to the committee during the defence (use a bullet list).

Questions:

- What has been the exact expectation of the data analysis on static code information and how would you evaluate the results?
- What exactly do you consider as a line of code (LOC) in your analysis?

Evaluation criterion:

The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

10. The overall evaluation

50 (E)

Criteria description:

Summarize the parts of the thesis that had major impact on your evaluation. The overall evaluation **does not** have to be the arithmetic mean or any other formula with the values from the previous evaluation criteria 1 to 9.

Comments:

The work has been done well, but the assignment is not fully satisfied and the results are poor.

Signature of the reviewer: