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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Difficulty and other comments on the assignment | 1 = extremely challenging assignment,  
| | 2 = rather difficult assignment,  
| | 3 = assignment of average difficulty,  
| | 4 = easier, but still sufficient assignment,  
| | 5 = insufficient assignment |

Criteria description:  
Characterize this final thesis in detail and its relationships to previous or current projects. Comment what is difficult about this thesis (in case of a more difficult thesis, you may overlook some shortcomings that you would not in case of an easy assignment, and on the contrary, with an easy assignment those shortcomings should be evaluated more strictly.)  

Comments:  
The goal of this work was to improve compression ratio of ACB compression method using new ideas. The implementation was done as a part of ExCom library.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 2. Fulfilment of the assignment | 1 = assignment fulfilled,  
| | 2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections,  
| | 3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections,  
| | 4 = assignment not fulfilled |

Criteria description:  
Assess whether the thesis meets the assignment statement. In Comments indicate parts of the assignment that have not been fulfilled, completely or partially, or extensions of the thesis beyond the original assignment. If the assignment was not completely fulfilled, try to assess the importance, impact, and possibly also the reason of the insufficiencies.

Comments:  
The work was successful. Suggested improvements were implemented. However new implementation is slower than the original implementation. This is not an important problem, because the compression speed was not the main goal of this implementation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3. Size of the main written part | 1 = meets the criteria,  
| | 2 = meets the criteria with minor objections,  
| | 3 = meets the criteria with major objections,  
| | 4 = does not meet the criteria |

Criteria description:  
Evaluate the adequacy of the extent of the final thesis, considering its content and the size of the written part, i.e. that all parts of the thesis are rich on information and the text does not contain unnecessary parts.

Comments:  
Without reservations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Factual and logical level of the thesis</td>
<td>90 (A)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criteria description:  
Assess whether the thesis is correct as to the facts or if there are factual errors and inaccuracies. Evaluate further the logical structure of the thesis, links among the chapters, and the comprehensibility of the text for a reader.

Comments:  
The factual and logical level of the work is good.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Formal level of the thesis</td>
<td>80 (B)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Criteria description:  
Assess the correctness of formalisms used in the thesis, the typographical and linguistic aspect s, see Dean's Directive No. 12/2014, Article 3.

Comments:  
The grammar could be improved. There are some common typographical problems with hyphenation, punctuation in mathematical mode, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation criterion:</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. Bibliography</td>
<td>90 (A)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Criteria description:
Evaluate the student’s activity in acquisition and use of studying materials in his thesis. Characterize the choice of the sources. Discuss whether the student used all relevant sources, or whether he tried to solve problems that were already solved. Verify that all elements taken from other sources are properly differentiated from his own results and contributions. Comment if there was a possible violation of the citation ethics and if the bibliographical references are complete and in compliance with citation standards.

References were relevant to work.

Comments:
References were relevant to work.

Evaluation criterion: 7. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards
The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

75 (C)

Comments:
The work was successful. The new implementation will become part of the ExCom library. However the work have to continue to improve both speed and compression ratio.

Evaluation criterion: 8. Applicability of the results
No evaluation scale.

Comments:
This work verified that suggested modifications are able to improve compression ratio. However the work have to continue to improve both speed and compression ratio.

Evaluation criterion: 9. Activity and self-reliance of the student
The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.

9a:
1 = excellent activity,
2 = very good activity,
3 = average activity,
4 = weaker, but still sufficient activity,
5 = insufficient activity

9b:
1 = excellent self-reliance,
2 = very good self-reliance,
3 = average self-reliance,
4 = weaker, but still sufficient self-reliance,
5 = insufficient self-reliance.

Comments:
Student was quite active with average independence.

Evaluation criterion: 10. The overall evaluation
The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).

80 (B)

Comments:
This thesis experiments with enhancements of ACB compression method. Although the compression speed is slower (probably by constant overhead due to non optimal implementation), it was verified that suggested modifications improve the compression ratio. I suggest B -- very good.
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