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### Evaluation criterion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Difficulty and other comments on the assignment</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 = extremely challenging assignment,</td>
<td>2 = rather difficult assignment,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 = assignment of average difficulty,</td>
<td>4 = easier, but still sufficient assignment,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 = insufficient assignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Criteria description:**  
Characterize this final thesis in detail and its relationships to previous or current projects. Comment what is difficult about this thesis (in case of a more difficult thesis, you may overlook some shortcomings that you would not in case of an easy assignment, and on the contrary, with an easy assignment those shortcomings should be evaluated more strictly.)

**Comments:**  
The assignment is on very high technical level. I evaluate it as very hard.

### Evaluation criterion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Fulfilment of the assignment</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 = assignment fulfilled</td>
<td>2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections,</td>
<td>4 = assignment not fulfilled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Criteria description:**  
Assess whether the thesis meets the assignment statement. In Comments indicate parts of the assignment that have not been fulfilled, completely or partially, or extensions of the thesis beyond the original assignment. If the assignment was not completely fulfilled, try to assess the importance, impact, and possibly also the reason of the insufficiencies.

**Comments:**  
The assigned goals were achieved completely.

### Evaluation criterion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Size of the main written part</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 = meets the criteria</td>
<td>2 = meets the criteria with minor objections,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 = meets the criteria with major objections,</td>
<td>4 = does not meet the criteria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Criteria description:**  
Evaluate the adequacy of the extent of the final thesis, considering its content and the size of the written part, i.e. that all parts of the thesis are rich on information and the text does not contain unnecessary parts.

**Comments:**  
This work complies assigned requirements for the diploma work.

### Evaluation criterion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Factual and logical level of the thesis</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 (A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Criteria description:**  
Assess whether the thesis is correct as to the facts or if there are factual errors and inaccuracies. Evaluate further the logical structure of the thesis, links among the chapters, and the comprehensibility of the text for a reader.

**Comments:**  
This work is on the very high technical level (according to the assignment) and I have to pronounce – it’s very nice work.

### Evaluation criterion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Formal level of the thesis</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 (A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Criteria description:**  
Assess the correctness of formalisms used in the thesis, the typographical and linguistic aspects, see Dean’s Directive No. 12/2014, Article 3.

**Comments:**  
This work is on the very high technical level (according to the assignment) and I have to pronounce – it’s very nice work.

### Evaluation criterion:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Bibliography</th>
<th>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 (A)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Criteria description:**  
Evaluate the student’s activity in acquisition and use of studying materials in his thesis. Characterize the choice of the sources. Discuss whether the student used all relevant sources, or whether he tried to solve problems that were already solved. Verify that all elements taken from other sources are properly differentiated from his own results and contributions. Comment if there was a possible violation of the citation ethics and if the bibliographical references are complete and in compliance with citation standards.

**Comments:**  
The bibliography list contains 44 references with approx. 11 electronic journals (or books). The quotations are done clearly.
7. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards

Criteria description:
Comment on the achieved level of major results of the thesis and indicate whether the main results of the thesis extend published state-of-the-art results and/or bring completely new findings. Assess the quality and functionality of hardware or software solutions. Alternatively, evaluate whether the software or source code that was not created by the student himself was used in accordance with the license terms and copyright. Comment on possible publication output or awards related to the thesis.

Comments:
I didn’t find the result of this work was presented. But I have to agree with the author note quote: "While doing the background research for this thesis I was trying to find any research paper that would cover the topic of what I call here the neural networks with memory." and with his conclusion "I believe this overview is unique and hopefully will serve some other researches as a source for their research."

This work should be written at some IEEE journal.

8. Applicability of the results

Criteria description:
Indicate the potential of using the results of the thesis in practice.

Comments:
The results from this research can be helpful for new Neural Networks designer. I’m almost sure, I will use some part of that in my research at the shapes recognition problem. This is very nice work.

9. Questions for the defence

Criteria description:
Formulate any question(s) that the student should answer to the committee during the defence (use a bullet list).

Questions:
- When is it useful to use Kohonen maps in comparison with back error propagation AI? Why?
- When is it useful to use sigmoid activation function and when linear?

10. The overall evaluation

Criteria description:
Summarize the parts of the thesis that had major impact on your evaluation. The overall evaluation does not have to be the arithmetic mean or any other formula with the values from the previous evaluation criteria 1 to 9.

Comments:
The work is very nice and complex from my point of view. I will give 98 points because the work was not presented in some journal till yet.
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