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Ad 9)

The Author, in cooperation with the Satakunta University of Applied Sciences from Finland (SAMK), put a base station of the GSM system into operation in a laboratory of the Czech Technical University in Prague (ČVUT). Using the tunnelling of Abis interface, he connected the base station to a mobile switching centre of the Finnish university. He performed a basic test of the connection. The thesis also includes the report of established connections through the GTP protocol.

The results of the thesis are applicable upon lessons and testing of various versions of behaviour of the GSM real network

Ad 10)

Some parts are hard to understand due to complicated sentences, see for example the first paragraph of the conclusion, or the first paragraph on page 14, etc.

From the formal point of view, the thesis has poorly numbered chapters, figure 4.2 is illegible.

I find the fourth chapter as crucial. The author describes a range of tools for administration and supervision of the network and also states a report of communication from the Wireshark environment. However, individual parts of the chapter are not properly linked and so they are not logically followed. I find the description of what the author used the tools for and a comment of results and knowledge acquired as insufficient.

In the conclusion, I miss assessment of his thesis, its contribution and the results acquired.

Ad 11)

Questions:

1) In the fourth chapter, you mention the tools of NetHawk Protocol Analyzer, Mobility Management or Nokia NetMonitor. For what purposes have you used these tools during your work?

2) In the Figure 4.4, there is captured communication through the GTP protocol. Please, describe where you found it. What is the connection between the depicted IP addresses and the addressed range showed in the Figure 3.1?