

REVIEWER'S OPINION OF FINAL THESIS

I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

Thesis name: Film School, Holešovice

Author's name: Sheetal Jangid

Type of thesis: master

Faculty/Institute: Faculty of Architecture (FA)

Department: 15129 Department of Architectural Design III

Thesis reviewer: Ing. arch. Aleš Papp
Reviewer's department: CUBOID ARCHITEKTI s.r.o.

II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

Assignment extraordinarily challenging

Evaluation of thesis difficulty of assignment.

The student had to combine very different typologies – accommodation, education and film studios.

Satisfaction of assignment fulfilled

Assess that handed thesis meets assignment. Present points of assignment that fell short or were extended. Try to assess importance, impact or cause of each shortcoming.

The student proposed a strong architectural concept, which successfully interconnects all required functions.

Method of conception outstanding

Assess that student has chosen correct approach or solution methods.

The student proceeded very responsibly from the whole to the detail.

Technical level B - very good.

Assess level of thesis specialty, use of knowledge gained by study and by expert literature, use of sources and data gained by experience.

I would have some minor objections, like a size of the kitchen of the cafeteria, but generally the building looks structurally and technically reasonable.

Formal and language level, scope of thesis

B - very good.

Assess correctness of usage of formal notation. Assess typographical and language arrangement of thesis.

Very impressive analytic part. The site plans should include descriptions. The visualizations are a bit naive, but sufficiently show the architectural intent.

Selection of sources, citation correctness

A - excellent.

Present your opinion to student's activity when obtaining and using study materials for thesis creation. Characterize selection of sources. Assess that student used all relevant sources. Verify that all used elements are correctly distinguished from own results and thoughts. Assess that citation ethics has not been breached and that all bibliographic citations are complete and in accordance with citation convention and standards.

Very good selection of the inspiration projects, detailed list of the sources.

Additional commentary and evaluation

Present your opinion to achieved primary goals of thesis, e.g. level of theoretical results, level and functionality of technical or software conception, publication performance, experimental dexterity etc.

Please insert your commentary (voluntary evaluation).



REVIEWER'S OPINION OF FINAL THESIS

III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR DEFENSE, CLASSIFICATION SUGGESTION

The author designed the city block as a solitaire, consisting of three masses connected by a common atrium. The proposed structure creates a pleasant environment for film school students and at the same time responds to the surrounding urban context. The architecture of the buildings itself is somewhat conservative for me, but it has its own quality. I appreciate that the student proposed at the site also other buildings than the film school.

I don't have a fundamental complaint about the presented work, but it seems to me that the student did not use her interesting massing concept to create a more expressive architecture. I also can imagine more interesting solution of the landscape between the buildings and the river.

I evaluate handed thesis with classification grade **B** - very good.

Date: **3.6.2024** Signature: