

THESIS SUPERVISOR'S REPORT

I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

Thesis title: Libčice nad Vltavou, mezi skalami, pod hájem

Author's name: Kateřina Shrbená

Type of thesis: master

Faculty/Institute: Faculty of Architecture (FA)

Department: Landscape Architecture

Thesis reviewer: Till Rehwaldt

Reviewer's department: Landscape Architecture

II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

Assignment challenging

How demanding was the assigned project?

The work addresses a topic that is of great importance for many similar towns. In Libcice, however, there is the special feature of the topographical situation, which is very complex, as well as the proximity to the capital city of Prague, which means that there is currently high settlement pressure.

Fulfilment of assignment

fulfilled

How well does the thesis fulfil the assigned task? Have the primary goals been achieved? Which assigned tasks have been incompletely covered, and which parts of the thesis are overextended? Justify your answer.

The author takes a very structured approach to the chosen topic. The general aim of qualifying the open space structures in Libcice is examined in the comprehensive analysis and then further specified. A particular focus is placed on the development of local identity - a task that is playing an increasingly important role, especially in the context of increasing urban densification.

The result is a concept for a master plan that considers the entire town and is formulated in more concrete terms for typical landscape units. The author also develops a system of paths and green connections that not only works well in the town, but also links it to its surroundings. Exemplary solutions are developed for individual issues typical of the area, such as wind erosion and rainwater runoff in the steep stream valleys, which can be applied to various spatial situations.

Well thought-out proposals are also presented for the integration of planned new housing developments - a very topical issue in Libcice. The design for the area of the sand pit is also interesting, making it clear how the objectives can also be implemented in concrete plans.

With the illustrations of basic spatial situations and the local-based statements on vegetation and materials, a practical handbook is offered which the town can also make good use of in everyday administrative work. It would have been helpful if the proposed measures had also been prioritized.

Activity and independence when creating final thesis

A - excellent.

Assess whether the student had a positive approach, whether the time limits were met, whether the conception was regularly consulted and whether the student was well prepared for the consultations. Assess the student's ability to work independently.

The author structured the task very independently and developed the respective solutions. Through regular and well-prepared consultations, she was able to conduct targeted discussions and further develop her work.

CTU CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE

THESIS SUPERVISOR'S REPORT

Technical level A - excellent.

Is the thesis technically sound? How well did the student employ expertise in his/her field of study? Does the student explain clearly what he/she has done?

The work is technically well-founded and placed in an academic context. It moves in the border area between landscape planning and urban development, in which the author positions herself well. She also explains clearly why the selected topic is of great importance for the town of Libcice.

Formal level and language level, scope of thesis

A - excellent.

Are formalisms and notations used properly? Is the thesis organized in a logical way? Is the thesis sufficiently extensive? Is the thesis well-presented? Is the language clear and understandable? Is the English satisfactory?

The structure of the work is very logically and legibly organized. Text and graphics complement each other well, especially the graphic language and the quality of the overall presentation are outstanding.

Selection of sources, citation correctness

B - very good.

Does the thesis make adequate reference to earlier work on the topic? Was the selection of sources adequate? Is the student's original work clearly distinguished from earlier work in the field? Do the bibliographic citations meet the standards?

The author cites extensive sources, some of which are thematic, others related to the specific situation. However, the classification in the scientific context could have been explained a little better.

Additional commentary and evaluation (optional)

Comment on the overall quality of the thesis, its novelty and its impact on the field, its strengths and weaknesses, the utility of the solution that is presented, the theoretical/formal level, the student's skillfulness, etc.

Overall, the work is of a very high quality and can provide valuable impetus for the development of the site. Very independent solutions were developed that emphasize the special identity of the location. The presentation of the design at various scales is well done, and the very high graphic quality in particular helps to convey the aims of the work precisely.

III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR THE PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE THESIS, SUGGESTED GRADE

The work makes a very good and, above all, independent contribution to solving the task.

Questions for the defense:

How could the proposed measures be prioritized?

Which design principles or measures could be transferred to other, comparable locations upon further consideration?

The grade that I award for the thesis is A - excellent.

Date: **6.6.2024** Signatur

Signature: