

I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

Thesis title:	Feasibility in Applying Agile Project Management Methodologies
Author's name:	Aktay Maral
Type of thesis :	master
Faculty/Institute:	Masaryk Institute of Advanced Studies (MIAS)
Department:	Institute of Public Administration and Regional Studies
Thesis reviewer:	Oldrich Bronec, MEng., PhD.
Reviewer's department:	Institute of management Studies

II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA

Assignment	challenging
<i>How demanding was the assigned project?</i>	
According to my information, the student is interested in the application of agile management methodology in solving challenging infrastructure projects in Kazakhstan. I consider this concept of work challenging.	

Fulfilment of assignment	fulfilled with minor objections
<i>How well does the thesis fulfil the assigned task? Have the primary goals been achieved? Which assigned tasks have been incompletely covered, and which parts of the thesis are overextended? Justify your answer.</i>	
The assignment was completed. I have a reservation, or rather a note, about the fact that no examples of a specific project were given. The theme doesn't specify it, but it would be expectable.	

Methodology	correct
<i>Comment on the correctness of the approach and/or the solution methods.</i>	
The chosen procedure is correct, the structure of the work corresponds to the given topic. The work has an advisory nature, which corresponds to the purpose of the work.	

Technical level	B - very good.
<i>Is the thesis technically sound? How well did the student employ expertise in the field of his/her field of study? Does the student explain clearly what he/she has done?</i>	
I have no reservations about the technical level of the work. The thesis discusses the topic in an appropriate manner.	

Formal and language level, scope of thesis	B - very good.
<i>Are formalisms and notations used properly? Is the thesis organized in a logical way? Is the thesis sufficiently extensive? Is the thesis well-presented? Is the language clear and understandable? Is the English satisfactory?</i>	
The formal and language level is good. The scope of the work (75 pages) is adequate for the given topic.	

Selection of sources, citation correctness	A - excellent.
<i>Does the thesis make adequate reference to earlier work on the topic? Was the selection of sources adequate? Is the student's original work clearly distinguished from earlier work in the field? Do the bibliographic citations meet the standards?</i>	
The work lists 26 citations. Their selection corresponds to the theme. I have no objection to the citations in the text.	

Additional commentary and evaluation (optional)	
<i>Comment on the overall quality of the thesis, its novelty and its impact on the field, its strengths and weaknesses, the utility of the solution that is presented, the theoretical/formal level, the student's skillfulness, etc.</i>	
it isn't	



THESIS REVIEWER'S REPORT

III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR THE PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE THESIS, SUGGESTED GRADE

Summarize your opinion on the thesis and explain your final grading. Pose questions that should be answered during the presentation and defense of the student's work.

The grade that I award for the thesis is **B - very good**.

Date: **31.8.2023**

Signature:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'Pavel', is written over the 'Signature:' label.