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Rating (1 – 5)
(1 = best; 5 = worst):

1. Fulfillment of assignment requirements: 1
2. Self-reliance and initiative during the thesis solution: 1
3. Systematic solutions of individual tasks: 1
4. Ability to apply knowledge and to use literature: 1
5. Collaboration and consultations with the thesis supervisor: 1
6. Thesis formal and language level: 1
7. Thesis readability and structuring: 1
8. Thesis professional level: 1
9. Conclusions and their formulation: 1
10. Final mark evaluation (A, B, C, D, E, F): A

verbal: Excellent

Brief summary evaluation of the thesis (compulsory):

Ali Mohammed has delivered on all the tasks described in the Bachelor’s thesis assignment. He performed a thorough survey of the field of speaker identification: exploring the relevant state of the art approaches to the problem, established a detailed overview of benchmark datasets for the field of speaker identification, and defined additional evaluation tasks to estimate the speaker identification model performance in use cases from practical setups. Finally, the author implemented a speaker identification pipeline based on the preceding survey of state-of-the-art approaches in the field. He demonstrated and evaluated the performance accordingly.

Overall, I was very satisfied with the attitude and care with which the student approached the work on the Bachelor’s thesis.
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