

**REVIEWER'S FORM  
for thesis evaluation**



**1. Identification of the student**

Student:	Milica Radovič
Thesis:	Chateau Lobkovice. Revitalization of the Castle Grounds
1 <sup>st</sup> Institution:	Universidade do Minho
2 <sup>nd</sup> Institution:	Czech Technical University in Prague
Academic year:	2021/2022

**2. Identification of the reviewer**

Name:	Ing. arch. Daniel Špička
Institution:	H&Š Architekti
Position:	Senior Partner

**3. Fulfillment of thesis goals**

<u>excellent</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	above aver.	<input type="checkbox"/>	average	<input type="checkbox"/>	below aver.	<input type="checkbox"/>	weak	<input type="checkbox"/>
Comments:									
<p>Chateau Lobkovice represents very well the colourful fate and historical development of many Bohemian aristocratic seats. Originally a 14<sup>th</sup> century fortified manor house it underwent many changes in both good and bad times and, as such, is a suitable subject for research and for a project of revitalization. The author of the thesis, Ms Milica Radovič, has shown a good insight of the problem and gathered a lot of information which she has used consequently to good effect. Thus the objective has been fulfilled.</p>									

**REVIEWER'S FORM  
for thesis evaluation**



**4. Academic/scientific/technical quality**

excellent

above aver. ☐

average ☐

below aver. ☐

weak ☐

Comments: It is obvious that Ms Radovič has meticulously studied existing historical sources and used these for her recommendations. Her suggestions for conservation of valuable elements are of a professional standard. She doesn't hesitate to implement modern solutions such as the membrane structure for the Inner Courtyard of the Castle. (One question: How is the "airing" of the Inner Courtyard provided?) The architectural solutions of the interior are covered with less detail but there are still some good ideas.

The needs of the local community are well reflected in the whole concept.

**5. Formal arrangement of the thesis and level of language**

excellent ☐

above aver. ☐

average ☐

below aver. ☐

weak ☐

Comments: The thesis is, in general, well and clearly organized. The drawings are sufficiently explicit as is her choice of supporting photographs and old maps. Her use of the English language is adequate with, understandably, a few mistakes. Nevertheless the concept of the thesis is well explained and presented.

**6. Further comments**

An important part of the revitalization project is the "greening" of the whole yard. This, of course, slightly takes away from the original farm yard appearance but is inevitable for its new functions and usage. While the trees covering the Farmers Market area have sense, the "formal garden" in front of the Private house should be, perhaps, less "formal". The same applies to the trees and fountains in front of the chateau. But all that may be just a personal preference.

**7. Grade:** A - excellent \_\_\_\_\_

Use the following scale

A (excellent)	B (very good)	C (good)	D (satisfactory)	E (sufficient)	F (fail)
---------------	---------------	----------	------------------	----------------	----------

Prague, July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2022

The Reviewer

Daniel Špička

**REVIEWER'S FORM  
for thesis evaluation**

