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The thesis project has demonstrated different machine learning approaches to the problem of separating signal and background for the reaction under study. The student presented his thesis results in a CERN meeting and it was well received. The thesis demonstrated that there is potential for further analysis in this research channel. Lukáš has been very able and skillful in analysis software development for scientific research.
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Summarize your opinion on the thesis and explain your final grading.

The thesis work met all expectations of the assigned project, in addition the mass reconstructed determination study was added. Lukáš worked very independently and made continuous progress. He mastered the software challenges in the CERN/ATLAS environment. He developed software soundly, which is documented and can be used further.

The grade that I award for the thesis is A - excellent.

Minor comments/questions:

1) Figure 8.11, in the legend, it should be a red line, not a red box.

2) How are the yellow and green uncertainty bands defined and calculated?
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