CTU CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE

THESIS SUPERVISOR'S REPORT

I. IDENTIFICATION DATA

The sie title.	Connections on Differentiable Manifolds		
Thesis title:	Connections on Differentiable Manifolds		
Author's name:	Martin Šimák		
Type of thesis:			
Faculty/Institute:			
Department:	Department of radio engineering, FEE, CTU in Prague		
Thesis reviewer:	Jiří Velebil		
Reviewer's department:	Department of mathematics, FEE, CTU in Prague		
II. EVALUATION OF INDIVIDUAL CRITERIA			
Assignment			
How demanding was the assigned project?			
The project deals with differential geometry. This topic is not being taught at undergraduate level at FEE. The author had			
to learn quite abstract machinery of modern mathematics.			
Fulfilment of assignment			
How well does the thesis fulfil the assigned task? Have the primary goals been achieved? Which assigned tasks have been incompletely covered, and which parts of the thesis are overextended? Justify your answer.			
The text of the thesis covers the goals of the assignment. The author had concentrated himself on the equivalence of a			
connection form and a covariant d	erivative on a manifold.		
Activity and independence when creating final thesis			
-	positive approach, whether the time limits were met, whether the conception was ne student was well prepared for the consultations. Assess the student's ability to work		
The student had actively participat	ed at regular meetings. He had often found and read various extra sources of literature.		
Technical level			
Is the thesis technically sound? How well did the student employ expertise in his/her field of study? Does the student explain clearly what he/she has done?			
It is not easy to write about a techi	nical topic in a relatively small number of pages. Moreover, the submitted text is the		
author's first work in mathematics. Quite necessarily, there appear some cumbersome formulations. However, I think, the			
author has demonstrated that his	writing and clarity of exposition will improve with time.		
Formal level and language leve	l, scope of thesis		
	I properly? Is the thesis organized in a logical way? Is the thesis sufficiently extensive? Is nguage clear and understandable? Is the English satisfactory?		
The thesis is written in clear English with minimum typos. Some notation could have been improved but it would, perhaps,			
lead to a longer text.			
Selection of sources, citation co	orrectness		
	ference to earlier work on the topic? Was the selection of sources adequate? Is the inguished from earlier work in the field? Do the bibliographic citations meet the		

The selection of references is good, their citation meets the usual standards. The student had clearly distinguished his own

calculations from the ones taken from the literature.

CTU CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE

THESIS SUPERVISOR'S REPORT

Additional commentary and evaluation (optional)

Comment on the overall quality of the thesis, its novelty and its impact on the field, its strengths and weaknesses, the utility of the solution that is presented, the theoretical/formal level, the student's skillfulness, etc.

There are very many things that the author had to learn. Moreover, almost nothing of these could have been taken from the usual curriculum. There are quite a few topics that did not make it to the thesis but the student had to go through them anyway.

III. OVERALL EVALUATION, QUESTIONS FOR THE PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE THESIS, SUGGESTED GRADE

Summarize your opinion on the thesis and explain your final grading.

On account of all of the above (a har the activity and enthusiasm of the st		of offset knowledge that had to be taken
the grade that I award for the thesis	sis	
Date:		Signature: